#### CA NO. 04-99003

#### IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

\* \* \*

TERRY JESS DENNIS, by and through KARLA BUTKO, as Next Friend,

Petitioner-Appellant,

D.C. No. CV-S-04-0798-PMP-RJJ (Nevada, Las Vegas)

VS.

MICHAEL BUDGE, Warden, and BRIAN SANDOVAL, Attorney General of the State of Nevada,

Respondents-Appellees.

# Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Nevada

### APPELLANT'S EXCERPTS OF RECORD

#### Volume V of XI

FRANNY A. FORSMAN
Federal Public Defender
MICHAEL PESCETTA
Assistant Federal Public Defender
330 South Third Street, Suite 700
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6577

Counsel for Petitioner-Appellant

#### CA NO. 04-99003

### IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

TERRY JESS DENNIS, by and through KARLA BUTKO, as Next Friend,

Petitioner-Appellant,

VS.

MICHAEL BUDGE, Warden, and BRIAN SANDOVAL, Attorney General of the State of Nevada,

Respondents-Appellees.

D.C. No. CV-S-04-0798-PMP-RJJ (Nevada, Las Vegas)

### Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Nevada

### APPELLANT'S EXCERPTS OF RECORD

Volume V of XI

FRANNY A. FORSMAN Federal Public Defender MICHAEL PESCETTA Assistant Federal Public Defender 330 South Third Street, Suite 700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577

Counsel for Petitioner-Appellant

# **INDEX**

		Page
1.	PETITION FOR THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254 BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY (CR 1) Dated June 14, 2004	. 0001
2.	PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254 BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY [VOLUME ONE OF THREE] (CR1)	. 0011
3.	PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254 BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY [VOLUME TWO OF THREE] (CR1)  Dated June 14, 2004	0637
4.	PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254 BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY [VOLUME THREE OF THREE] (CR1)	1139
5.	APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CR 6)	1726
6.	MEMORANDUM WITH RESPECT TO STANDING OF NEXT FRIEND (CR 5)	1748
7.	MOTION FOR STAY OF EXECUTION (CR 8)	1768

8.	MOTION TO DISMISS (CR 12)	1773
9.	INDEX OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS [COPIES OF EXHIBITS OMITTED] (CR 13)	1787
10.	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, United States District Court Case No. CV-S-04-798-PMP, dated July 1, 2004	1796
11.	LETTER TO THOMAS E. BITTKER, M.D., Dated June 24, 2004	1876
12.	CURRICULUM VITAE OF THOMAS E. BITTKER, M.D	1878
13.	ORDER IN A DEATH PENALTY CASE (CR 16)	1889
14.	NOTICE OF APPEAL (CR 18)	1904
15.	ORDER (CR 20)	1907
16.	CLERK'S RECORD	1908

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHING FOR MORE DECK! I EXECUTION IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH SNOWILL . "IL. STAT.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

Plaintiff

No. 84-1-00006-1

AMENDED

INFORMATION

TERRY J. DENNIS.

Defendant(s)

Comes now Seth R. Dawson, Prosecuting Attorney for the County of Snohomish, State of Washington, and by this, his Information, charges and accuses the above-named defendant(s) with the following crime(s) committed in Snohomish County, Washington:

COUNT I. SECOND DEGREE ASSAULT, committed as follows: defendant, on or about the 9th day of December, 1983, did knowingly assault Officers Farmer and Foster, a human being, with a weapon or other other instrument or thing likely to produce bodily harm, to-wit: a knife, the defendant being at said time armed with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife as defined by RCW 9.95.040; proscribed by RCW 9A.36.020(1)(c), a felony.

COUNT II. SECOND DEGREE ARSON, committed as follows: That the defendant, on or about the 9th day of December, 1983, did knowingly and maliciously cause a fire or explosion which damaged a building, located at 21713-48th Avenue West, Mountlake Terrace, Washington, the defendant being at said time armed with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife as defined by RCW 9.95.040; proscribed by RCW 9A.48.030, a felony.

STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF SHOHOMISH

I, PAM.L. DANIELS, Clerk of the above entitled Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing instrument is a true and correct copy of the original now on We in my office.

In witness whereof, I hereunto set my hand and the Seal of said Court this

\_\_day of \_MAR\_2\_4-1990\_19\_ PAM L., DANIELS, County Clerk

SETH R. DAWSON

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

EXHIBIT

White Copy: Canary Copy: Pink Copy:

Court File Defendant's Copy Prosecutor's Copy - RETAIN IN FILE Galdenrod Capy:

Defense Attorney's Copy

Other Agency Description  Name STRAUMANIS  Alias  Address GENERAL DELIVE  City RENO  Age 55 YRS Appr	ERY St	ILONA	ANITA  Zipcode 89501  DOB 08/18/1942	THE OF COMMENTS	Record Of Death Washoe County Medical Examiner \ Coroner 10 Kirman Avenue P.O. Box 11130 Reno , Nevada 89520 Phone: (775) 785-611
	il Security No.	341-36-5566	Occupation UNEMPL	OYED MAID	
	E DEPARTMENT	CAPT. DON	Date	03/09/1999	Time 19:40
Investigator at Scene : Date	03/09/1999	Time 20:15			
Identified By PICTORAL II	DENTIFICATION	20.13	<del></del>		
Next Of Kin SORENSON		, LIEVE		D-1-MA	
Address 1223 ELGIN AVE.	12	City FOREST	PARK State IL	Relationship Zip 60130	COUSIN
Notifications: Time	Date		ho DET. MOHAMAD		Phone 708-209-1864
How Notified TELEPHONE			Property Receipt	21303	
Mortuary Preference O'BR	IEN ROGERS & C	ROSBY	Requested By	FAMILY	
Circumstances and Medical His	tony Summer	_		ound Dead: 03/09/19	16:15
	<del>-</del>	Loca		Date	Time
Injury or illness	HORSESHOE MO	TEL ROOM #S3 490 L	AKE ST. RENO NV.	03/09/19	
ransported by	N/A			03/03/13	99 16:15
	WA				
<del>_</del> <del>_</del>	AS ABOVE			03/09/19	99 16:15
Death Decision By Private Physician	OFFICER FRANK	CER FRANK H. JOHNSON			no 334-2175
Hospital Post Mort Exam		·		Phor	
Peroner's Consultation			Consent		
	Conducted By	16 4 20 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Date		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Conducted By	KATHERINE P. RA	VEN M.D.	Date	03/10/1999
( A ) Immediate Cause of Death :	A	SPHYXIA			
			<del></del>		
(B) Due to, or as a consequence					
		DMPRESSION OF NE	CK BY STRANGULATION		
( C ) Due to , or as a consequence ( D ) Other Conditions :	e of			THE PARTY OF THE P	
Accident, Suicide, Homicide, o Undetermined HOMICIDE			Injury at Work	Place of Inju	iry – at home, farm, ry, office bidg., etc.
How Injury Occurred	03/09/		N		MOTEL
Location	HORSESH	D BY KNOWN ASSA	ILANT		
	TONGESHO	PE MOTEL ROOM #S	3 490 LAKE ST. RENO M	V	
Toxicology Etch Type		Etoh Lavel	0.370 Etoh Fron		Other Y
opies To RE	NO B D COCC			- <del> </del>	rine Result
PA Notified Y	ENO P.D. CASE #€	14128-99			

# **Record of Death Narrative**

### STRAUMANIS, ILONA ANITA

0221-99

Detective Bob Bennett of the Reno Police Department reports that Terry Dennis of the Horseshoe Motel Room S3 at 490 Lake Street, in Reno Nevada telephoned the dispatcher at the Reno Police Department at 1610 hrs. on 03/09/99. Mr. Dennis reported that the 56-year-old victim was deceased in his room. Officer Frank Johnson of the Reno Police Department responded to the residence at 1615 hrs. and made the death decision.

Subsequent interviews conducted by the Reno Police Department led to statements by Mr. Dennis indicating his presence at the time of the victim's death and during an unknown number of days subsequent to the death.

The victim was found nude, lying in a semi-prone position across the east bed in the motel room. The victim's head was towards the southeast. Her left arm was over the east side of the bed. Her right leg was partially over the west side of the bed. A pillow was noted under the victim's lower abdomen. Her legs were spread apart. Rigor mortis was absent. Liver mortis was dependent anteriorly consistent with the position in which the body was found. The body was cool to touch. Blood was coming from her mouth and nose. The body was in an early state of decomposition with marbleing of the skin, skin slippage, non-blanching lividity, and an odor.

A brown leather belt was observed lying on the carpeted floor on the east side of the bed between the bed and the wall. Two empty quart bottles of Natural Ice Beer, an empty 750-ml bottle of White Wolf Vodka, and an empty 750-ml bottle of McCormick's Vodka were observed on the counter in the bathroom. The bed on the west side of the room was unmade.

Kathy Raven, M.D. accompanied this deputy coroner to the scene of the incident. Dr. Raven obtained rectal swabs from the deceased. Personnel from the Forensic Investigation Services section of the Washoe County Sheriff's Office obtained hair specimens from the victim's buttocks. All specimens and the brown leather belt were taken into evidence by F.I.S.

The remains were placed in a new body bag, which was sealed by the undersigned deputy coroner. Personnel from O'Brien, Rogers, and Crosby Mortuary transported the victim at 2157 hrs. arriving at WCCO at 2203 hrs. The transportation of the remains was witnessed by this deputy coroner.

The victim's previous medical history includes: alcohol intoxication with possible benzodiazepine toxicity in 10/92; chronic ethanolism; "severe" hepatic function abnormality secondary to Acetaminophen toxicity in 1995; remote bilateral fractured wrists and left ankle with surgical repairs; gastrointestinal hemorrhage in 1995; renal failure in 1995; thrombocytopenia in 1995; cigarette abuse; cardiac murmur.

PAGE 1

# Record of Death Narrative

# STRAUMANIS, ILONA ANITA

0221-99

This case was reported to the Washoe County Department of Social Services and Public Administrator's Office.

Steve J. Woods Deputy Coroner

Vernon O. McCaty
Washoe County Coroner

03/15 /99 Date /

### **AUTOPSY PROTOCOL**

STRAUMANIS, Ilona A.

022199

DATE OF DEATH:

March 9, 1999; (found)

DATE OF AUTOPSY:

March 10, 1999; 0930 Hours

CONSENT GRANTED BY:

Washoe County Coroner's Office

**AUTOPSY PERFORMED AT:** 

Washoe County Coroner's Office

PATHOLOGIST:

Kathy P. Raven, M.D.

An autopsy is performed on the body of Ilona Straumanis, at the Washoe County Coroner's Office, Reno, Nevada, on the 9th day of March 1999 at 0930 hours.

### **EXTERNAL EXAMINATION**

### **CLOTHING:**

There is no clothing on or accompanying the body.

### **GENERAL DESCRIPTION:**

The body is that of a normally developed white female appearing slightly younger than the recorded age of 56 years, weighing 126 pounds and measuring 64 inches in height. The body is in a state of moderate decomposition that is characterized by marbling, most prominent over the anterior surfaces of the body, especially over the legs, which extends posteriorly. There is green marbling over the back. There are large areas of skin slippage over the body and patchy dark green-purple discoloration. In addition, there is postmortem purge coming from the nose and mouth region. There is intense purple-red lividity present anteriorly, most prominent over the face and trunk region with large areas of pallor consistent with pressure. This extends onto the right shoulder and lateral trunk. In addition, there are linear areas of pallor consistent with the blankets underneath the body. Rigor mortis is lysed in the extremities and jaw.

The scalp is covered by brown hair measuring up to 3 inches. The facial skeleton is palpably intact. There is intense purple-red lividity and deep red-brown postmortem decompositional changes over the face with an area of pressure pallor over the left side of the face consistent with the found position. The ears are anatomically normal, as is the nose. There is a large amount of postmortem red purge coming from the nares and dripping onto the face. The eyes are natural and the sclerae are obscured due to intense postmortem lividity. In addition, assessment of the conjunctivae is difficult due to intense lividity and congestion but no obvious petechiae are present in the palpebral

conjunctiva bilaterally. There are two minute faint pinpoint bulbar petechiae present bilaterally which may represent lividity. The eyes are removed and put into stock. In addition, incisions into the periorbital tissue show red discoloration consistent with lividity with no obvious evidence of injury. The mouth contains natural dentition with several teeth missing, most prominent in the maxilla and the teeth that are remaining are in poor repair. The oral mucosa is intact with no evidence of injury and no petechiae identified. The neck is symmetric and the trachea is palpated in the midline. There is intense lividity over the anterior neck region with several areas of pallor due to pressure and natural folds in the neck. There is a rectangular shaped mark on the right side of the neck and measures 1 and ½ inches x 1 and ½ inches. There is no underlying soft tissue injury. The chest is normally developed, as are the breasts. There are areas of skin slippage and skin blebs. There are no masses palpated in the breasts. The abdomen is slightly distended with no masses palpated. Over the anterior trunk, there are large areas of intense lividity and areas of marbling and skin slippage.

The upper extremities are normally developed and symmetric bilaterally. The hands have been placed in paper bags, which are removed. The right hand is normal and the nails are irregularly trimmed with slight overhang. The left hand shows drying and mummification from the distal phalanges up to the wrist region. There is no obvious evidence of injury. Fingernail clippings are obtained for evidence. The dorsum of both wrists and hands are cut and shows no evidence of subcutaneous injury. The lower extremities are normally developed. There is marbling over both surface and lividity over the anterior surfaces. There are also areas of skin slippage. The feet are anatomically normal. The nails are irregularly trimmed with slight overhang. There is postmortem drying and dark green-black discoloration of the distal phalanges, most prominent on the right foot. There is intense marbling over the dorsum of the left foot. The soles of the feet are calloused and show marbling. The external genitalia is that of a normal adult female with dilatation of the vaginal orifice, most likely due to postmortem changes. The skin and mucosa surrounding the vagina are easily sloughed with manipulation. There is no evidence of injury to the mucosa externally or internally. Vaginal swabs are obtained and are submitted into evidence. The back is anatomically normal and has areas of green marbling and faint red-brown discoloration. There are also areas of skin slippage. The anus is dilated with the anal mucosa showing changes of decomposition with a yellow-pink mucoid fluid in the anus. There is no evidence of injury to the perianal skin or distal rectum. Anal swabs are obtained.

### SCARS AND IDENTIFYING MARKS:

- 1. On the left medial ankle is a well-healed vertical linear 4 inch scar.
- 2. On the left wrist and forearm is a well-healed linear 4 inch scar.
- 3. On the left buttock is a well healed, slightly hyperpigmented 2 inch scar.

There are no other significant identifying scars or tattoos seen on the body.

# **EXTERNAL EVIDENCE OF INJURY:**

- 1. On the left forehead above the supraorbital ridge is an oblique red-brown dried abrasion measuring 1 and ½ inches x ¾ of an inch.
- 2. On the right anterior neck, extending laterally is a rectangular shaped area of intense purple-red discoloration of the skin and appears to be a contusion; however, this is in an area of intense lividity. This measures 1 and ½ inch x 1 and ½ inch and has sharp linear borders.
- 3. On the left posterior thigh below the buttock is an area of faint purple-red contusion, which extends across the leg in a horizontal fashion in a band-like fashion and measures 5 inches. Below this, is an additional irregular, faint purple-red 2 inch contusion. This area is excised and shows a small amount of hemorrhage in the soft tissue.

### INTERNAL EXAMINATION

# INTERNAL EVIDENCE OF INJURY:

- 1. The skin of the neck is incised at autopsy and extends from the inferior chin down the midline of the chin to the sternum. The skin is reflected and shows soft tissue hemorrhage in the subcutaneous tissue in the midline. The superficial strap muscles, which are exposed after the skin is reflected, show purple-red discoloration. These superficial muscles are likewise reflected muscle by muscle and show a large amount of hemorrhage in the midline and toward the right underlying this area. Deeper down, there is a focal 1/8 of an inch hemorrhage present in the midline just to the right of midline over the hyoid bone. The thyroid bone and hyoid bone show no evidence of fracture.
- 2. There is a fracture of the upper body of the sternum. The soft tissue overlying this fracture shows increased intensity of purple-red discoloration, more intense than other areas and most likely represents hemorrhage versus lividity. There are no fractures of the ribs; however, the bones are easily fractured postmortem by the pathologist with manual manipulation at autopsy.

### **BODY CAVITIES:**

The body is opened by the usual thoraco-abdominal, Y-shaped incision and the chest plate is removed. No adhesions or abnormal collections of fluid are present in any of

the body cavities. All body organs are present in the normal anatomical position. The subcutaneous fat layer of the abdominal wall is  $\frac{1}{2}$  of an inch thick.

### HEAD (CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM):

The scalp is reflected. The calvarium of the skull is partially removed. The dura mater and falx cerebri are intact. The brain weighs 1320 grams. The leptomeninges are thin and delicate. The cerebral hemispheres are symmetrical but are friable with early liquefaction due to postmortem decomposition. The structures at the base of the brain, including cranial nerves and blood vessels, are intact. Coronal sections through the cerebral hemispheres reveal no lesions. Transverse sections through the brain stem and cerebellum are unremarkable. The spinal cord is not removed.

#### NECK:

All injury to the neck is described above. No other abnormalities are noted.

### **CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM:**

The heart weighs 330 grams and has a normal contour. The pericardial surfaces are smooth, glistening and unremarkable; the pericardial sac is free of significant fluid or adhesions. The coronary arteries arise normally, follow the usual distribution with no evidence of significant atherosclerosis or thrombosis in the left circumflex coronary artery and right coronary artery. There is, however, focal calcifying atherosclerosis involving the left anterior descending coronary artery approximately 2.0 cm. from its origin and is grossly occluding the lumen greater than 90%. The chambers and valves bear the usual size-position relationship and are unremarkable. The myocardium is dark red-brown, firm, and unremarkable; the atrial and ventricular septa are intact. The aorta and its major branches arise normally, follow the usual course and are widely patent, free of significant atherosclerosis and other abnormality. The vena cava and its major tributaries return to the heart in the usual distribution and are free of thrombi.

### RESPIRATORY SYSTEM:

The right and left lungs weigh 590 and 580 grams, respectively. The upper airway is clear of debris and foreign material; the mucosal surfaces are smooth, yellow-tan and unremarkable. The pleural surfaces are smooth, glistening and unremarkable bilaterally, except as otherwise noted. The pulmonary parenchyma is dark red-purple exuding slight to moderate amounts of blood and frothy fluid; no focal lesions are noted. The pulmonary arteries are normally developed, patent, and without thrombus or embolus.

# LIVER AND BILIARY SYSTEM:

The liver weighs 1450 grams. The hepatic capsule is smooth, glistening and intact, covering dark red-brown, moderately congested parenchyma, with no focal lesions noted. The gallbladder contains approximately 5 cc. of dark green bile. The extrahepatic biliary tree is patent, without evidence of calculi.

### **ALIMENTARY TRACT:**

The esophagus is lined by gray-white, smooth mucosa. The gastric mucosa is arranged in the usual rugal folds and the lumen contains no significant contents. The small and large bowel are unremarkable. The appendix is present. The pancreas has a normal gray-white, lobulated appearance and the ducts are clear.

### **GENITOURINARY TRACT:**

The right and left kidneys weigh 140 and 160 grams, respectively. The renal capsules are smooth and thin, semitransparent, and strip with ease from the underlying smooth, red-brown, slightly and superficially lobulated cortical surface. The cortex is slightly congested and is sharply delineated from the medullary pyramids, which are red-purple to tan and unremarkable. The calyces, pelves and ureters are unremarkable. The urinary bladder contains no urine; the mucosa is gray-tan and smooth. The uterus and adnexal structures are in the normal position. The cervix appears to be nulliparous. The portion of distal vaginal wall and vaginal cuff show no evidence of injury.

# RETICULOENDOTHELIAL SYSTEM:

The spleen weighs 70 grams and has a smooth, intact capsule covering red-purple, moderately firm parenchyma; the lymphoid follicles are unremarkable. The regional lymph nodes appear normal. The bone marrow is red-purple, homogeneous, without evidence of focal abnormality.

# ENDOCRINE SYSTEM:

The pituitary, thyroid, and adrenal glands are unremarkable.

# MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM:

The bony framework is unremarkable, except as otherwise noted. The supporting musculature and soft tissue are not unusual.

# MISCELLANEOUS PROCEDURES/SPECIMENS RETAINED:

1. Full body fluoroscopy

2. Rectal washing with wet mount slide examination shows no evidence of spermatozoa.

3. Evidence collected as listed on Washoe County Coroner's evidence sheet.

# MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION

Lung:

autolysis and decomposition

Heart:

autolysis and decomposition

Kidney:

autolysis and decomposition

Liver:

autolysis and decomposition

autolysis and decomposition Brain:

Soft tissue, eye (R)(L) collections of autolyzed blood: cannot rule out hemorrhage versus lividity; iron is negative.

Soft tissue adjacent to sternal fracture: no obvious hemorrhage on selected section.

### **TOXICOLOGY**

# Comprehensive Blood Screen:

Results:

Ethanol = 0.37 GRAM%

Phenylpropanolamine, ephedrine and pseudoephedrine identified.

No other drugs identified in blood.

# PATHOLOGIC DIAGNOSES

1. Asphyxia due to neck compression (by history) with soft tissue and strap muscle hemorrhage of the neck

2. Fracture of sternum

Minor cutaneous contusion of the left leg and cutaneous abrasion of the left side 3. of the head

Pre-existing natural disease, with focal severe coronary atherosclerosis of the left 4. anterior descending coronary artery

Postmortem decomposition, moderate 5.

### CAUSE OF DEATH

The cause of death in this 56 year old female is attributed to asphyxia due to compression of neck with the given history of manual and ligature strangulation.

Kathy P. Raven, M.D.

Pathologist



4230 Burnham Ave III. 250 Las Vegas, Ne. ..... 89119 (702) 733-7866

ALL HOW OWNER Director of Toxicology

CAP No. 89109-004-011

O4TIENT STRAUMANIS 0221-99A, ILONA

APL ROUTE

RENO

15162

AGE/SEX 56Y

ACCESSION NO.

REFERRED BY 01791095

WASHOE COUNTY CORONER-MED EXAM

COLLECTED 03/10/1999 10:45 RECEIVED 03/11/1999 10:39

MED. RECORD NO.

P.O. BOX 11130

DXICOLOGY NO. 99-019210

CHART NO. SS NO. 0006861923

RENO, NV 89502

TOXICOLOGY REPORT

TEST NAME SPECIMEN

COMPREHENSIVE BLOOD SCREEN

RESULTS: ETHANOL = 0.37 GRAM%

PHENYLPROPANOLAMINE, EPHEDRINE AND PSEUDOEPHEDRINE IDENTIFIED.

NO OTHER DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN BLOOD.

THE FOLLOWING BLOOD SCREEN FOR ACIDIC, NEUTRAL AND BASIC DRUGS

INCLUDES BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO:

Anitriptyline Cocaine

Diphenhydramine

Inipranine

Methadone & Metabs

Morphine

Pentazocine

Propoxyphene & Metabolites

Acetaminophen

Butabarbital

Chlordiazepoxide

Ethosuximide

Meprobanate

Methyprylon

Phenobarbital

Secobarbital

Amphetamine

Codeine

Hydrocodone

Lidocaine

Methamphetamine

Nortriptyline

Phencyclidine

Amobarbital

Butalbital

Diazepan

Glutethimide

Methaqualone Nordiazepam

Theophylline

Phenutoin

Benzoylecgonine Desipramine

Hydromorphone

Meperidine

Methaqualone

Dxycodone

Phenylpropanolamine

Barbital

Carbanazepine

Ethchlorvynol

Mephobarbital

Methsuximide

Pentobarbital

Salicylate

Valproic Acid

ER 0773

Page FORM No forund oc

PHONE NO. : 7823343417

Jun. 04 1999 06:56AM P2

DENNIS Lungh

DA# 176802

# KATHERINE P. RAVEN, MD

# **CURRICULUM VITAE**

#### **EDUCATION**

1996-1997 Fellowsh

Fellowship in Forensic Pathology

King County Medical Examiner's Office

Seattle, Washington

1995-1996

Fellowship in Neuropathology

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington

1991-1995

Residency in Anatomical and Clinical Pathology

University of Colorado Health Science Center

Denver, Colorado

1987-1991

University of Nevada School of Medicine

Reno, Nevada

MD degree

1982-1986

University of Nevada, Reno

Reno, Nevada

Bachelor of Science

#### **EMPLOYMENT**

Present-

Sierra Pathology Associates

Forensic Pathologist

475 Kirman St.

Reno, NV. 89509

1997-1998

University of Washington

Forensic Pathologist

Seattle Washington

1994-1995

Mile High Transplant Bank

**Autopsy Pathologist** 

Denver, Colorado

1994-1995

Wardenburg Health Center

Lectures of Pathology

Denver, Colorado

Jun. 04 1999 08:56AM P3

PHONE NO. : 7823343417

FROM : SIERRA PATHOLOGYW

is in

#### KATHERINE P. RAVEN, MD

#### **CURRICULUM VITAE**

#### **BOARD CERTIFICATION**

Forensic Pathology Board Certification, September 1998 Anatomical and Clinical Pathology Board Certification, May 1998 National Board of Medical Examiners, Part I, II, III,

#### MEDICAL LICENSURE

Nevada State Medical License: 8663
Washington State Medical License: MD00033131

#### HONORS

Best Resident Paper Award, National Association of Medical Examiner's National Meeting, September, 1997

Elected College of Pathologist's (CAP) Colorado State Representative, 1994-1995

Alpha Epsilon Delta Honor Society 1982-1986

# ACADEMIC/OTHER POSTITIONS

Pathology 6000 Instructor, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Denver, Colorado,

Pathology Instructor, Child Health Associates Program, Denver, Colorado

Pathology Instructor, Medical Technology School, Wardenburg Health Center, Denver, Colorado,

Clinical Communication and Interviewing Class Instructor, Reno, Nevada

PHDNE NO. : 7823343417 Jun. 04 1999 08:560M P4

FROM : SIERRA PATHOLOGYW

KATHERINE P. RAVEN, MD

**CURRICULUM VITAE** 

#### PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

National Association of Medical Examiners
American Association of Forensic Science
American Medical Association
American Society of Clinical Pathologists (ASCP)
College of Pathologist (CAP)

#### RESEARCH AND PUBLICATIONS

Artifactual Injuries Associated with Resuscitative Intubation, Kathy Raven, M.D. Donald T. Reay, M.D., & Richard C. Harruff, M.D., Ph.D, (Paper accepted and pending publication in The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology)

Heroin-Related Deaths in King County, Kathy Raven, M.D., Richard C. Harruff, M.D., PhD (in progress)

Aging of Cerebral Cortical Contusions, Kathy Raven, M.D., Ellsworth Alvord, M.D., & Richard C. Harruff, M.D., PhD (in progress)

Adrenal Cortical Carcinoma: Prognostic Factors and Flourescence In-Situ Hybridization (FISH), Research

No. CR99-OGIL

State of Nevada
vs.

Terry Jess Denois

Ex. 9

Admitted 7/19, 1999

AMY HARVEY, OLEHK

ER 0777 /2

# ORIGINAL

FILED

CODE 2610 Richard A. Gammick #001510 89520-3083 (775) 328-3200

-8 P12:44

CR99-0611

5

6

7

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

2 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24 5

P.O. Box 30083 Reno, NV Attorney for Plaintiff

TERRY JESS DENNIS,

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

Dept. No. 1

Case No.

Defendant.

# NOTICE OF EVIDENCE IN AGGRAVATION

COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by and through RICHARD A. GAMMICK, District Attorney of Washoe County, and DANIEL J. GRECO, Deputy District Attorney, and offers its Notice of Evidence in Aggravation pursuant to SCR 250(4)(f).

# EVIDENCE PERTAINING TO AGGRAVATING FACTORS

At the penalty hearing the State will introduce the certified copies of the court documents evidencing the defendant's three prior felony convictions which involved the use or threat of violence on the person of another, as outlined in the State's Notice of Intent To Seek Death Penalty filed on April 14, 1999. Specifically, the defendant was convicted of felony

NOL I.

21

22

23

24

Assault in the Second Degree in Snohomish County Superior Court, Washington, in 1979, and defendant was further convicted of felony Assault in the Second Degree and felony Second Degree Arson in Snohomish County Superior Court, Washington, in 1984. Copies of the court documents that the State will seek to introduce have previously been provided to defense counsel in the discovery process. Additionally, the State will present the following witnesses to testify regarding the facts underlying the three aforementioned felony offenses involving the use or threat of violence: Bonnie Dennis, P. O. Box 262, Sumpter, Oregon 97877; Barbara (Prosser) Johnson, 11043 White Mountain Road, Sun City, Arizona 85351; Lana Miller, 1823 204th street SW, Lynwood, Washington 98036; and Commander (formerly Officer) Stephen Foster, Mount Lake Terrace Police Department, 5906 232nd Street SW, Lake Terrace, Washington 98043. Said witnesses will testify consistently with the contents of the police reports and witness statement detailing the above-described felony offenses involving the use or threat of violence. Copies of said reports and witness statements have previously been provided to defense counsel in the discovery process.

Additionally, the State will present evidence that the defendant subjected the victim of the murder, Ilona Straumanis, to non-consensual sexual penetration immediately before, during or immediately after the commission of the murder. Specifically, the State will present the detailed admissions of the defendant 26 pertaining to this specific aggravator which were made in his two video taped police interviews which occurred on March 9, and March 10, 1999. Additionally, the State may present testimony from Dr. Kathy Raven pertaining to this aggravator. Dr. Raven conducted the autopsy on the victim, Ilona Straumanis, on March 10, 1999. If Dr. Raven is questioned regarding this particular aggravator, she will testify regarding her observations and conclusions pertaining to her examination of the vaginal and rectal areas of the victim's body. Dr. Raven will testify consistently with the contents of the autopsy protocol which she prepared in this matter, a copy of which has previously been provided to defense counsel in the discovery process.

### OTHER EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED AT PENALTY HEARING

At the penalty hearing the State will also present evidence pertaining to the following: the facts and circumstances of the instant murder; the defendant's criminal history; the defendant's character; information pertaining to the victim and the impact of the crime upon her relatives and friends; and other evidence, pursuant to NRS 175.552(3). Although notice of this evidence is not required by SCR 250(4)(f), the State is providing the following information as a courtesy to the court and defense counsel.

The State will call the 911 dispatcher who received the initial call from the defendant on March 9, 1999, at approximately 4:00 o'clock p.m. The 911 dispatcher will authenticate the tape of the call and the tape will then be played for the court. During the tape the defendant makes

various admissions pertaining to the murder. A copy of the tape, as well as a transcript of the call, have previously been provided to defense counsel in the discovery process.

9.

13.

.5

The State may also call Dan Edminster as a witness at the penalty hearing. On March 9, 1999, at approximately 11:00 o'clock p.m., the defendant bragged to Edminster that he would be on the news that evening.

The State will call Detective Robert Bennett of the Reno Police Department as a witness at the penalty hearing.

Interalia, Détective Bennett responded to the Horseshoe Motel,

490 Lake Street, Room S-3, on March 9, 1999. Detective Bennett

assisted various police personnel and F.I.S. personnel in

examining and searching the room, after a search warrant had been obtained. Said room is the room where the murder occurred.

Detective Bennett will describe the condition of the room, items located therein, and the position and condition of the body of the victim, Ilona Straumanis. Detective Bennett will also authenticate various photographs of the room and the victim's body that will be introduced into evidence. Detective Bennett will testify consistently with the contents of the report which he generated in this matter, a copy of which has been previously provided to defense counsel during the discovery process.

Detective Jim Burke of the Reno Police Department will also be called as witness during the penalty hearing. Interalia, Detective Burke will testify regarding his initial contact with the defendant at the Horseshoe Motel at approximately 4:30 p.m.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

11 2 13

5

23 l

24

on March 9, 1999. Detective Burke will summarize his contact and conversation with defendant at the scene including the defendant agreeing to accompany police to the Reno Police Department. Detective Burke will also authenticate the video tape of his subsequent interview of the defendant at the Reno Police Department. Said interview commenced at approximately 5:00 o'clock p.m. on March 9, 1999. The video tape will be introduced at the penalty hearing. A copy thereof, as well as a transcript thereof, have been previously provided to defense counsel in the discovery process. During the interview the defendant made an extremely detailed confession to the murder of Ilona Straumanis. He also talked about various, related matters.

The State may also call Detective Joe Depczynski as a witness. On March 10, 1999, Detective Depczynski conducted a second video taped interview of the defendant at the Washoe County Jail. If called to the stand Detective Depczynski will authenticate the video tape of the interview. In this second interview the defendant summarizes his life history, his previous relationships with women and other persons, his criminal history and the specifics thereof, his actions prior to and subsequent to the murder of Ilona Straumanis, as well as some additional details of the murder of Ilona Straumanis. A copy of the tape of the interview, and a transcript thereof has been previously provided to defense counsel in the discovery process.

Dr. Kathy Raven will testify at the penalty hearing. In addition to the matters discussed at page 3  $\underline{\text{supra}}$ , Dr. Raven 1 wi
2 pe
3 Ma
4 ex
5 th
6 br
7 Ra
8 St
9 cc
10 wi
11 pr
2 pr
13 wi
14 bo
15 he
16 ph

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

will testify in detail regarding her observations and conclusions pertaining to the autopsy she performed on Ilona Straumanis on March 10, 1999. Interalia, Dr. Raven will testify regarding the extensive subcutaneous hemorrhage she observed within the neck of the victim. She will also testify regarding various external bruises or injuries she observed on the body of the victim. Dr. Raven will offer the opinion that the cause of death of Ilona Straumanis was asphyxia due to compression of the neck, consistent with manual and/or ligature strangulation. Dr. Raven will testify consistently with the contents of the autopsy protocol she generated, a copy of which has been previously provided to defense counsel in the discovery process. Dr. Raven will also authenticate the various photographs of the victim's body which will be introduced into evidence at the penalty hearing. Defense counsel has been provided with copies of these photos, as well as copies of all the other photos in the case, through the discovery process.

The State may also call one or more relatives or friends of victim Ilona Straumanis to testify about the life and character of Straumanis and the impact of the crime upon them. The names and addresses of said witnesses are not known at this time.

Although it is unlikely, the State may also call the following witnesses at the penalty hearing: Detective Mohammad Rafaqat, Reno Police Department; Officer Frank Johnson, Reno Police Department; Tony Leal, Washoe County Crime Lab and Richard

Marsh, 490 Lake Street, #211, Reno, Nevada. If any of these witnesses are called at the penalty hearing they will testify consistently with the reports and witness statements they generated in this matter, copies of which have been previously provided to defense counsel in the discovery process.

Dated this Ith day of fune, 1999

RICHARĎ A. GAMMICK District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

DANIEL J. GRECO Deputy District Attorney

### CERTIFICATE OF FORWARDING

I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County District Attorney's Office and that, on this date, I forwarded a true copy of the foregoing document, through the Washoe County interagency mail, addressed to:

P.O. BOX 30083 RENO, NEVADA 89520-3083

MAIZIE PUSICH, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

DATED this 1st day of June, 1999.

Victor Hamm

ER 0786

filian open los = 1 Flas 149

Il ā.M.

CODE 1960
MAIZIE W. PUSICH, Chief Deputy, #2808
JOHN REESE PETTY, Chief Deputy, #0010
Washoe County Public Defender
1 South Sierra Street
Reno, NV 89501
(775)328-3464
Attorneys for TERRY JESS DENNIS

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

STATE OF NEVADA,

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

Plaintiff

v.

Case No. Cr99-0611

TERRY JESS DENNIS,

Dept. No. 1

Defendant.

# DEFENDANT'S MEMORANDUM RE: SENTENCING

COMES NOW TERRY, JESS DENNIS, by and through the Washoe

County Public Defender, MICHAEL R. SPECCHIO, and Chief Deputies

MAIZIE W. PUSICH, and JOHN R. PETTY, and hereby submits the

following memorandum regarding his sentencing scheduled to

commence July 19, 1999, before District Court Judges JANET

///

///

23 ///

25 | ///

26 ///

111

1

### POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

TERRY JESS DENNIS plead guilty to first-degree murder, with the use of a deadly weapon, on April 16, 1999. Prior to entry of his plea, the State of Nevada filed a Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty. After questioning by the Court, regarding his understanding of the effect of his plea, and knowledge of his rights, the Court accepted his plea, and scheduled sentencing before a three-judge panel, to commence July 19, 1999.

NRS 175.552 permits this Court to consider at sentencing evidence concerning aggravating and mitigating circumstances relative to the offense, defendant or victim and evidence on any other matter which the court deems relevant to sentence, whether or not ordinarily admissible.

NRS 200.035 lists some of the mitigating factors a Court should consider in evaluating a possible sentence of death. They include:

The murder was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance; and

Any other mitigating circumstance.

The United States Supreme Court ruled in <u>Lockett v. Ohio</u>, 438 U.S. 586, 98 S.Ct. 2954, 57 L.Ed.2d 975 (1978), that a State must allow consideration of all evidence that might serve as a basis for a sentence of less than death.

In this case, in addition to extreme mental or emotional disturbance, several other factors mitigate against a death sentence:

1. THE DEFENDANT RECOGNIZED THAT HE POSED A RISK TO OTHERS, AND SOUGHT PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE ONLY WEEKS BEFORE THE MURDER OCCURRED.

Mr. DENNIS went to the Veteran's Administration Medical Center on January 7, 1999. He advised the staff that things were out of control. He was crying, and saying he wanted to hurt somebody. He told the VA that he had picked up a girl the night before, "with the sole intent of killing her" just for the sake of killing. The hospital notes that the defendant was exhibiting bizarre behavior, talking and answering himself. (The same phenomenon occurs on the tape-recorded interviews of Mr. DENNIS following the killing of Ms. STROUMANIS. The police leave the room, and Mr. DENNIS continues to converse for several minutes in their absence).

The VA kept Mr. DENNIS on its psychiatric unit for eight days, then released him. His condition upon discharge was "fair." Although told that he had to take his valproic acid, trazadone, and prozac prescriptions, and told not to drink alcohol, Mr. DENNIS took his medication only sporadically, and continued to drink alcohol. Mr. DENNIS reported during a visit to the VA on February 2, 1999, that it had been two days or more since he had taken ANY of his medications. He denied that he intended to hurt himself or others. He was not admitted, but was told to return on March 2, 1999, which he did.

On March 2, 1999, Mr. DENNIS got his prescriptions refilled. He denied drinking alcohol, and denied thoughts of harming himself or others. The notes show that Mr. DENNIS was calm, pleasant and cooperative. He was scheduled to return for follow-up on March 16, 1999. By March 16, Mr. DENNIS was in custody charged with murder.

The Division's presentence report lists Mr. DENNIS' mental health history as unverifiable without his consent. Mr. DENNIS, acting on the advice of counsel, declined to speak with Parole and Probation. However, copies of his records have been provided for the Court's review.

2. MR. DENNIS REPORTED THE CRIME TO THE POLICE.

It is uncontroverted the 911 call to police was made by Mr. DENNIS, who then remained at the Horseshoe motel until police arrived. Mr. DENNIS specifically requested detectives, and declined to discuss the case until their arrival. However, once detectives responded, Mr. DENNIS voluntarily accompanied them to the Reno Police Department, and answered questions, and later answered questions at the Washoe County Detention Facility. Mr. DENNIS expressed frustration with questions being asked repeatedly, but did not refuse to answer questions.

3. MR. DENNIS ACCEPTED RESPONSIBILITY FOR HIS ACTIONS BY PLEADING GUILTY.

Mr. DENNIS had a Constitutional right to a jury trial, pursuant to the United States and Nevada Constitutions.

Although Mr. DENNIS confessed to having committed the crime,

.0

 the Court is aware that a confession does not remove the jury trial right (it frequently makes the defense of the case more difficult, but does not preclude a trial).

Mr. DENNIS first advised police on the scene that he planned to plead guilty. He confirmed his position during the formal police interviews. He plead guilty at his District Court arraignment, his first opportunity to do so.

Trials can be traumatic events for friends and relatives of crime victims. By pleading guilty, Mr. DENNIS permitted the loved ones of ILONA STROUMANIS to avoid that trauma.

As the Court well knows, any jury trial is expensive and time consuming. A jury trial in a murder case is even more expensive than other criminal trials. And, a capital murder trial is frequently the most expensive of criminal trials. By pleading guilty, Mr. DENNIS saved the taxpayers of Washoe County a considerable sum of money (it is not unusual for total costs in a capital murder trial to approach a quarter of a million dollars, e.g. State v. David Middleton, held in Washoe County before Department 7, in September, 1997).

Interestingly, one of Mr. DENNIS comments to police was that he did not plan to go to trial and would save the taxpayers the money.

///

4. MR. DENNIS HAS A LENGTHY, AND DOCUMENTED, HISTORY OF SUICIDE ATTEMPTS. HIS APPARENT ACQUIESCENCE IN THE STATE'S DESIRE TO KILL HIM APPEARS TO BE YET ANOTHER SUICIDE ATTEMPT.

Mr. DENNIS has been admitted to West Hills Hospital,
Nevada Mental Health Institute, and the Veteran's
Administration Medical Center, to deal with problems involving
suicidal and homicidal ideation. Mr. DENNIS' first involvement
with mental health problems occurred in the mid-1960s, when he
attempted suicide while in the military.

During his 1983 arrest in Washington, Mr. DENNIS reportedly attempted to resist arrest, despite being surrounded by several police officers with firearms, while he himself had only a pocket knife. He told the officers to "go ahead and blow him away," according to the report of Officers Farmer and Henson of the Mountlake Terrace Police. He ended up both shot by police, and bitten by the police dog. Per Officer Holmes' report the dog was merely distracting Mr. DENNIS by biting him, after Mr. DENNIS had been shot, and the civilian witnesses had heard him drop the knife, and seen him fall to the ground. Even after suffering those injuries civilian witness, Ed Howell, heard Mr. DENNIS say to just leave him alone.

The following documents Mr. DENNIS' continuing contacts with mental health professionals, to treat Mr. DENNIS for problems including suicidal ideation:

26 1///

	<b>[ ]</b>					•
1	FACILITY	DATE	DATE OF	DIAGNOSIS	VOL/INVOL	CHIEF
2		ADMITTED	DISCHARGE		Or Out-P	COMPLAINT
	Andrews	1965	1965	Suicide	Invol.	
3	AFB			att.		
	NMHI	1/16/95		Major dep	Out-P	AV hall.
4	1			Suicidal		
	NMHI	8/19/95	8/30/95	Suicidal	Vol.	AV hall.
5	177			Dep., APD		
6	NMHI	9/1/95	9/1/95	Suicide	Out-P	
_	77.00			Threats		
7	NMHI	6/13/96	6/28/96	Major dep	Vol	Cornered
	Tile			APD, ALC		desperate
8	West Hills	7/7/98		Dep, alc,	Vol.	
9	UTITIS			paranoia,		
9				PTSD, dep		
10				single		
				episode,		
11	West	0/20/20		alc		
	Hills	8/12/98	8/28/98	PTSD	Vol.	Suicidal
12	*******	1		BI-POLAR	*	
13	VAMC	9/28/98	20/0/00	ALC, APD		
	VAMC	1/7/99	10/9/98	SI/HI,alc	Vol.	
14		1///99	1/15/99	SI/HI	Vol	"losing
	Legend:	L AV Hall ←				it"
15			audiovisua	l hallucina	tions	
			alcohol	and the second second		
16	T .	,	suicidal i			
17	i i		homicidal :			
- '			antisocial			,
18	Thus,	it appears	Mr. DENNIS	has active	lv attompte	ا دوندید. د
19	in 1965, i	n 1982 (by	goading nume	erous police	e officers	with
_						
20	TTTEGTHIS C	o snoot him	, while arme	ed with only	y a pocket l	knife).

firearms to shoot him, while armed with only a pocket knife), and in 1999, by doing nothing to try to save himself in this case.

Mr. DENNIS has been admitted to local psychiatric hospitals on at least six occasions in the past four years, and has had several out-patient contacts also.

Interestingly, during his July, 1998, admission, the depression from which he was diagnosed as suffering, was

21

22

23

24

25

characterized as a "single incident." Clearly, the person making that diagnosis had incomplete information.

5. MR. DENNIS WAS HONORABLY DISCHARGED FROM THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE AFTER SERVING TWO TOURS OF DUTY, FROM 1965-1968, INCLUDING NON-COMBAT SERVICE IN VIET NAM AND THAILAND.

Counsel for the defendant was unable to obtain copies of Mr. DENNIS military records. However, it should be noted that the Division of Parole and Probation has verified his service and the dates thereof. Presentence Report, page 7, paragraph titled "military."

6. MR. DENNIS WAS THE VICTIM OF ABUSE, INCLUDING INCEST, AS A CHILD, RESULTING IN POST TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER, WHICH HAS INFLUENCED HIS CONDUCT AS AN ADULT.

A review of Mr. DENNIS mental health records show that he has been diagnosed with PTSD. Yet, subsequent to his PTSD diagnosis the records fail to disclose treatment for that condition.

7. MR. DENNIS AND MS. STROUMANIS HAD BEEN DRINKING HEAVILY FOR THE THREE TO FOUR DAYS BEFORE HER DEATH; AND THE DEFENDANT CONTINUED TO DRINK FOR TWO TO THREE MORE DAYS, BEFORE HE REPORTED THE CRIME.

Mr. DENNIS reported to police during his interviews that they had been putting away a fifth of vodka every few hours. An empty fifth was found in the room, and Mr. DENNIS advised police that he had taken some bottles out with the trash. Ms. STROUMANIS' blood alcohol at autopsy was .37 gram%. Mr.

DENNIS' blood alcohol was found on testing to be .112, and descending in three graduated draws.

8. MR. DENNIS HAS BEEN INCARCERATED IN THE PAST, AND NO EVIDENCE HAS BEEN PRESENTED THAT HE WAS A MANAGEMENT PROBLEM TO THE AUTHORITIES, WHILE IN CUSTODY. SINCE HIS ARREST IN THIS CASE, MR. DENNIS HAS NOT BEEN A MANAGEMENT PROBLEM FOR THE WASHOE COUNTY DETENTION FACILITY.

While initially housed in administrative segregation due to the nature of the offense, Mr. DENNIS was moved shortly after his arrest to a training unit, and after completing the requirements of that unit, to general population. He has incurred no disciplinaries while at the Washoe County Detention Facility, despite the fact that his current legal posture leaves him with little to lose.

The United States Supreme Court, in <u>Skipper v. South</u>

<u>Carolina</u>, 476 U.S. 1, 106 S.Ct. 1669, 90 L.Ed.2d 1 (1986), held that mitigating evidence which a Court or jury in a capital sentencing cannot be precluded from considering includes good post-arrest behavior.

There is no disputing that this Court's decision in Eddings requires that in capital cases " 'the sentencer ... not be precluded from considering, as a mitigating factor, any aspect of a defendant's character or record and any of the circumstances of the offense that the defendant proffers as a basis for a sentence less than death.' " Eddings, supra, 455 U.S., at 110, 102 S.Ct., at 874 (quoting Lockett, supra, 438 U.S., at 604, 98 S.Ct., at 2964 (plurality opinion of BURGER, C.J.)) (emphasis in original). Equally clear is the corollary rule that the sentencer may not refuse to consider or be precluded from considering "any relevant mitigating evidence." 455 U.S.,

at 114, 102 S.Ct., at 877. These rules are now well established, and the State does not question them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Accordingly, the only question before us is whether the exclusion from the sentencing hearing of the testimony petitioner proffered regarding his good behavior during the over seven months he spent in jail awaiting trial deprived petitioner of his right to place before the sentencer relevant evidence in mitigation of punishment. It can hardly be disputed that it did. The State does not contest that the witnesses petitioner attempted to place on the stand would have testified that petitioner had been a well-behaved and well-adjusted prisoner, nor does the State dispute that the jury could have drawn favorable inferences from this testimony regarding petitioner's character and his probable future conduct if sentenced to life in prison. Although it is true that any such inferences would not relate specifically to petitioner's culpability for the crime he committed, see Koon I, supra, 278 S.C., at 536, 298 S.E.2d, at 774, there is no question but that such inferences would be "mitigating" in the sense that they might serve "as a basis for a sentence less than death." Lockett, supra, 438 U.S., at 604, 98 S.Ct., at 2965. Consideration of a defendant's past conduct as indicative of his probable future behavior is an inevitable and not undesirable element of criminal sentencing: "any sentencing authority must predict a convicted person's probable future conduct when it engages in the process of determining what punishment to impose." <u>Jurek v. Texas</u>, 428 U.S. 262, 275, 96 S.Ct. 2950, 2958, 49 L.Ed.2d 929 (1976) (opinion of Stewart, POWELL, and STEVENS, JJ.). The Court has therefore held that evidence that a defendant would in the future pose a danger to the community if he were not executed may be treated as establishing an "aggravating factor" for purposes of capital sentencing, <u>Jurek v. Texas</u>, <u>supra</u>; see also Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 103 S.Ct. 3383, 77 L.Ed.2d 1090 (1983). Likewise, evidence that the defendant would not pose a danger if spared (but incarcerated) must be considered potentially mitigating. Under Eddings, such evidence may not be excluded from the sentencer's consideration. 106 S.Ct. at 1670, 1671.

9. IF GIVEN A LIFE SENTENCE, MR. DENNIS IS CAPABLE OF WORKING AS AN INMATE LAW CLERK, AND HELPING OTHER INMATES.

Mr. DENNIS is intelligent, and educated, and can assist other inmates with fewer language and writing skills. While incarcerated in Washington State in the 1980s, Mr. DENNIS worked as an inmate law clerk.

. 1

10. ALTHOUGH A BELT WAS USED PART OF THE TIME DURING THE KILLING IN THIS CASE, THE BELT WAS A WEAPON OF OPPORTUNITY, NOT PRIOR PLANNING, AS A GUN COULD HAVE BEEN.

There is evidence that the death itself was caused without the use of the belt, as Mr. DENNIS told police that he started the strangling with the belt, but finished it with his hands. When initially approached by police responding to his report, he was asked if he had any weapons, and emphatically told them he did not.

The use of the belt, during even part of the commission of the offense forms the basis for the enhanced sentence this Court must impose pursuant to NRS 193.165, and its current "functional test." Mr. DENNIS will face a like consecutive sentence for the use of a deadly weapon whether given a life sentence or a death sentence. (Practically speaking, if Mr. DENNIS is sentenced to die, there will be no enhanced sentence, except on paper).

Accordingly, because Mr. DENNIS will face a significant penalty for the use of a weapon, with either of the life sentence alternatives available to the Court, it is respectfully submitted this court should not also utilize the weapon as a circumstance to justify a sentence of death, because to do so would unconstitutionally use the same act to punish twice, in violation of the United States and Nevada constitutional prohibitions against double jeopardy.

(U.S.Const., Amendments V and XIV; Nevada Constitution, Article 1, Section 8).

1. INCLUDED AMONG THE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES ALLEGED BY THE STATE IS SEXUAL PENETRATION AT OR NEAR THE TIME OF DEATH.

The evidence suggests the victim in this case had engaged in voluntary sexual conduct with the defendant over a period of several days before her death. She was left alone in the room, and had the opportunity to leave, had she wanted to do so. She had access to a telephone in the room. There is no evidence that the defendant raped the victim, then killed her to keep her from reporting the crime. Nor is there evidence that he killed her in order to rape her.

In interviews with police Mr. DENNIS acknowledged he may have had intercourse with the victim at or near the time of her death. His statements are equivocal. During his first interview, which commenced at 5:08 p.m. on March 9, Mr. DENNIS

anal intercourse. Mr. DENNIS does not know. However, he expressed dismay at the thought she might have been dead at the time. (FI, page 97, lines 39-43).

Absent Mr. DENNIS' cooperation with the authorities in describing what he could recall happening, the State would have insufficient evidence to present this issue to the Court.

Dr. Raven, who conducted the autopsy, found no evidence of injury to the perianal skin or distal rectum. Autopsy Protocal, page two, bottom of second paragraph. Dr. Raven also found no evidence of spermatozoa in a rectal washing.

The Notice of Intent to seek the Death Penalty, filed by the State on April 14, 1999, advises Mr. DENNIS that the State will prove that Mr. DENNIS subjected the victim to:

"nonconsensual sexual penetration, as defined in NRS 200.033(13), immediately before, during or after the commission of the murder, to wit, the defendant engaged in anal intercourse with the victim shortly before and/or shortly after he killed her."

"Penetration" is defined as any intrusion into the victim's body, anal, vaginal or oral, however slight. But, proof of some intrusion is required.

Nevada law requires aggravating circumstances be proven beyond a reasonable doubt:

To forestall future uncertainty on the issues considered above, we provide the district courts with the following instruction to be given in the sentencing phases of all capital cases.

The jury must find the existence of each aggravating circumstance, if any, unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt.

В

The jurors need not find mitigating circumstances unanimously. In determining the appropriate sentence, each juror must consider and weigh any mitigating circumstance or circumstances which that juror finds.

Geary v. State, 114 Nev. 100, 952 P.2d 431, 433 (Nev. 1998)

Proof of corpus delicti cannot be based upon only a defendant's own confessions or admissions. Hooker v. Sheriff, Clark County, 89 Nev. 89, 506 P.2d 1262 (1973). As to the murder in this case, there appears to be circumstantial evidence which would satisfy the corpus delicti rule. However, with regards to the sexual penetration aggravator, which could have formed the basis of a separate charge, there is insufficient corroborating evidence for Mr. DENNIS' equivocal statements, to establish the corpus delicti of sexual penetration anally, as alleged.

In <u>Hooker</u>, <u>subra</u>, the Supreme Court ruled that corpus delicti was not established where the defendant spontaneously stated, "I think I shot my wife." His wife was found dead of gunshot wound. A revolver with one spent shell was found near the body. The parties stipulated the cause of death was a gunshot wound. Evidence was not presented showing the bullet in the victim's body was fired by the revolver found. The Court found the record contained insufficient evidence of criminal agency to satisfy the corpus delicti rule.

17.

 Similarly, in this case there is insufficient evidence, absent Mr. DENNIS' statements, which are equivocal, to establish that an anal sexual penetration of Ms. STROUMANIS occurred. The Court should decline to find this aggravating circumstance in this case.

12. AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE, NEVADA LAW PERMITTED THE COURT TO CONSIDER THIRTEEN DIFFERENT CIRCUMSTANCES IN AGGRAVATION OF FIRST-DEGREE MURDER. (A FOURTEENTH FACTOR WAS ADDED BY THE 1999 NEVADA LEGISLATURE. IT WOULD NOT APPLY IN THIS CASE, EVEN IF IT HAD BEEN ON THE BOOKS AT THE TIME OF THE CRIME).

In Mr. DENNIS' case, only two of the fourteen categories arguably apply, and as noted above, the sexual penetration aggravator will not be sufficiently proven.

THE STATE ALLEGES THREE PRIOR FELONY CONVICTIONS FOR VIOLENCE. TWO AROSE OUT OF A SINGLE INCIDENT. NONE IS MORE RECENT THAT FIFTEEN YEARS BEFORE MS. STROUMANIS' DEATH.

Two of Mr. DENNIS' convictions arose out of the same incident, and the sentencing Court ran the sentences for the second-degree arson, and the 1984 conviction for second-degree assault, concurrently with each other, although consecutive to the 1979 second-degree assault conviction. Additionally, this Court should consider that Mr. DENNIS' most recent felony convictions are fifteen years old. He was out of custody for over a decade, without suffering a felony conviction, prior to the crime to which he has plead guilty in this case.

The presentence report appears to have relied upon the NCIC printout regarding the defendant, which inaccurately reports three convictions arising out of the 1984 incident.

Mr. DENNIS was convicted of second-degree assault, and second-degree arson. And his earlier second-degree assault probation was revoked. However, the Washington Court records reflect three felony convictions relating to the 1978 and 1983 incidents, not four.

14. IMPOSITION OF A DEATH SENTENCE AGAINST MR. DENNIS WOULD BE DISPROPORTIONATE AND INAPPROPRIATE.

The Nevada Supreme Court is not required to conduct a proportionality review of capital sentences. NRS 177.052(d). However, it is respectfully submitted such an analysis is appropriate in this Court, pursuant to NRS 200.035, which permits this Court to consider any mitigating evidence. Further, such a comparison of the circumstances of the offense, the defendant and similar cases is consistent with the Georgia procedure approved by the United States Supreme Court in Greag v. Georgia, 428 U.S. 153, 96 S.Ct. 2909, 49 L.Ed.2d 859 (1976):

whether the death sentence "is excessive or disproportionate to the penalty imposed in similar cases, considering both the crime and the defendant."

In <u>Chambers v. State</u>, 113 Nev. 974, 944 P.2d 805 (Nev. 1997), the Nevada Supreme Court reversed the death sentence of Roger Chambers, finding that the alleged torture aggravator had

not been proven (while enraged, Chambers stabbed his motel roommate 17 times), where the defendant reacted in anger, while intoxicated. Further, the Court found that while prior felony convictions had been proven, they were too old to fairly justify imposing a sentence of death. (The most recent of Mr. Chambers' convictions at the time of trial was 18 years old).

However, under our obligation to review the record to determine whether the sentence of death is excessive considering the crime and the defendant, we conclude, after comparing the circumstances of the murder and the defendant in this case with the circumstances in other cases in which this court has affirmed the death penalty, that imposition of the death penalty here is excessive.

One factor contributing to our conclusion that the death penalty is excessive is that one of the aggravating circumstances was not supported by the evidence. Also, the other aggravating factor, the prior convictions, referred to crimes that occurred eighteen years before the verdict in question, when Chambers was eighteen years old. This hardly shows a pattern of violence sufficient to justify the death penalty.

Mr. DENNIS' has four felony convictions, the most recent of which occurred fifteen years prior to the killing of Ms. STROUMANIS. Like Mr. Chambers and Mr. Haynes, discussed below, Mr. DENNIS' first conviction occurred when he was a young man, and twenty-nine years prior to the offense in the present case. Mr. DENNIS' first conviction was for possession of marijuana, a felony in South Dakota at the time of the offense. He served two years prison for his conviction. His second conviction was for assault, in the second degree. He was granted probation.

His third and fourth convictions occurred in a single incident.

He was convicted of arson and second-degree-assault (the only person injured in the alleged assault was Mr. Dennis), and second-degree arson. As a result of his convictions in the third and fourth crimes, he was sentenced to prison, and his probation was revoked. The 1984 sentences ran consecutively to the 1979 sentence, but concurrently to each other.

Due to the significant period of time which has elapsed since Mr. DENNIS' felony convictions, it is respectfully submitted, as in <u>Chambers</u>, <u>supra</u>, they do not suffice to prove a pattern of violence sufficient to impose the death penalty.

The Supreme Court has also expressed reluctance to impose death upon mentally ill defendants with remote prior convictions.

In <u>Havnes v. State</u>, 103 Nev. 309, 319-320, 739 P.2d 497 (Nev. 1987), the Supreme Court reversed the death sentence of Eddie Lee Haynes.

The basis on the record for the jury's death verdict was a single aggravating circumstance, namely, a prior violent act in the form of an armed robbery committed fifteen years prior to this crime when Haynes was eighteen years old.

Haynes is a homeless wanderer who has been in and out of mental institutions for the past four or five years. He has committed a grave and serious offense, but it does not appear to us that it can be properly and justly maintained that this man deserves to die for what he did.

26 ///

///

Under <u>Gregg v. Georgia</u>, 428 U.S. 153, 96 S.Ct. 2909, 49 L.Ed.2d 859 (1976), we are required to consider whether the sentence was influenced by passion, prejudice, or any other arbitrary factors; whether the evidence supports the finding of a statutory aggravating circumstance; and, whether the death sentence "is excessive or disproportionate to the penalty imposed in similar cases, considering both the crime and the defendant." [Footnote omitted].

The United States Supreme Court has observed "that under contemporary standards of decency death is viewed as an inappropriate punishment for a substantial portion of convicted first-degree murderers." Woodson v. North Carolina, 428 U.S. 280, 296, 96 S.Ct. 2978, 2987, 49 L.Ed.2d 944 (1976). It is inappropriate in this case.

Similarly, in Mr. DENNIS' case the Court should recognize that Mr. DENNIS was, at the time of the offense, essentially homeless. Like, Mr. Haynes, he had been in and out of mental institutions for years. It is undisputed he had been drinking for several days. Although the lapse of time between the crime, and his reporting of it, prevents a test result to establish intoxication at the time of the offense, the victim was measured at three times the legal limit. This appears to support Mr. DENNIS' report that he and the victim had been drinking heavily. (It should also be noted that several hours elapsed between Chambers' killing of Chacon, and his being tested for intoxication. Despite that the Supreme Court accepted that intoxication played a role in the crime).

15. THE COURT SHOULD CONSIDER ANY OTHER MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCE.

The Court may, in reviewing the evidence recognize other factors, which the defense has not identified. Realizing that possibility, the defense requests this Court consider any and all mitigating circumstances relating to Mr. DENNIS in assessing his penalty for this crime.

## **ARGUMENT**

Pursuant to Nevada law this Court must qualitatively weigh the aggravating circumstances alleged by the State against the mitigating information regarding Mr. DENNIS. It is respectfully submitted, that analysis should result in a sentence of life.

Mr. DENNIS is \$2 years old. For his conviction, as enhanced, he would face a minimum parole eligibility of 40 years. Mr. DENNIS is a long-term alcoholic, who has been diagnosed with Hepatitis C. (The presentence report notes no significant health information. However, Mr. Dennis' physical health is documented in the mental health records which have been provided by the defense). Therefore, there is little practical difference between a sentence of life with parole, or life without. His life expectancy, if healthy, would be between 75 and 80 years old. He is not healthy, and a sentence of life with parole would result in a possible parole eligibility at age 92. No matter which of the sentences Mr.

DENNIS is given by the Court, he will not be living in this community again.

All three of the sentences this Court may consider imposing will result in Mr. DENNIS' death in custody. The issue to be resolved is when that death occurs, and whether or not it will be the result of natural causes.

This Court must decide, as a matter of policy and of humanity, whether it should condemn a person to death, who has been trying to kill himself for over three decades. While legally competent, Mr. DENNIS is clearly mentally ill, and has been for some time. Do we, as a society, want to kill the sick, those abused and molested as children, the poor, the disenfranchised, when their efforts at treatment fail?

Voting to kill Terry DENNIS may satisfy Mr. DENNIS, but he has been suicidal for years. It may satisfy the State. But, it should not satisfy the Court, or the community. Killing Terry DENNIS will send the wrong message:

If you are violated and abused as a child, if you honorably serve your country in an unpopular war, if you succumb to mental illness and addictions and repeatedly try to kill yourself or force others to kill you, if you seek professional help, those things do not concern us.

It is respectfully submitted that is not an appropriate message to send to Mr. DENNIS.

11/1

25 //

26 | ///

Based upon the foregoing, and the record of proceedings in this case, it is respectfully submitted Mr. DENNIS should be sentenced to life in prison.

DATED this 1999.

MICHAEL R. SPECCHIO Washoe County Public Defender

MAIZIE W. PUSICH

Chief-Deputy

JOHN R. PETTY Chief Deputy 2-19 Ma 99 JUL 20 P12:11

BY OF PILE

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. CR99-0611

TERRY JESS DENNIS,

Dept. No. 1

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

PENALTY HEARING

Volume 1 (Pages 1 through 90)

MONDAY, JULY 19, 1999

Reno, Nevada

Reported By:

ERIN T. LÜSCHAR, RPR, CCR #281

^.^ SUNSHINE REPORTING SERVICES ^.^

775/323-3411 \* 775/883-7950 522 Lander Street, Reno, Nevada

## APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

DANIEL GRECO
Deputy District Attorney
Washoe County District Attorney
75 Court Street
Reno, Nevada

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

MAIZIE T. W. PUSICH Chief Deputy Public Defender Washoe County Public Defender 195 S. Sierra Street Reno, Nevada

JOHN REESE PETTY
Chief Appellate Deputy
Washoe County Public Defender
195 S. Sierra Street
Reno, Nevada

## I N D E X

WITNESS	ES FOR THE STATE:		PAGE
CARO	THERS, Robin		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Greco		. 8
BENN	ETT, Robert		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Greco		21
	Cross-Examination by Ms. Pusich		29
	Examination by Judge Cherry		30
BURK	E, James		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Greco	÷	31
·			
EXHIBIT	<u>s</u> :	IDENT	EVID
2.	Photographs		26
2	Search warrant		22
3	Admonition & Waiver of Rights		
4	911 cassette tape		10
5	Redacted copy of Interview with		
	Defendant (videotape)		
6.	1978 Assault - Certified copy of		
	prior conviction		
7	1983 Assault/Arson - Certified copy	Y	
_	of prior conviction		
8	Brown belt		
9	Record of Death		
10	NMHI Records - 1995 and prior		
11	NMHI Record - 1996 and later		
12,	VA psychiatric records		
.13	West Hills records		
14	Police report		
15 16	Witness Statements	•	
16	Autopsy photographs		
17.	Complete copy of interview with	3 6	37
10	Defendant (videotape)	_	
18	Transcript of videotaped interview	41	

-000-

RENO, NEVADA, MONDAY, JULY 19, 1999, 9:00 A.M.

-000-

JUDGE BERRY: Good morning. The case before the Court is State of Nevada versus Terry Jess Dennis. This is CR99-0611.

This is the three-judge panel convened for the penalty phase in the matter before the Court. I'd like to introduce the panel that's been appointed by Chief Justice of the Supreme Court Robert Rose.

To my left, your right, is Mike Memeo from the Fourth Judicial District. To my right is Judge Michael Cherry at the Eighth Judicial District, and I'm Judge Janet Berry of the Second Judicial District Court, Department 1.

Welcome, ladies and gentlemen.

Counsel, did you wish state your names for yourself.

MR. GRECO: Dan Greco on behalf of the State of Nevada.

MS. PUSICH: Good morning, your Honor. Maizie
Pusich, Chief Deputy for homicides for the Public
Defender's Office, and John Petty, the Chief Deputy for
Appeals in the Public Defender's Office.

ER 0814

9:11A 1

MR. PETTY: Good morning.

JUDGE BERRY: The record should reflect that a number of electronic devices have been set up in the courtroom at the request of counsel.

The record should reflect that the Court met in chambers and conferred with court staff last week and requested the placement of certain equipment in this case for purposes of showing a videotape.

On counsel's tables they each have their own separate video monitors for Mr. Dennis to see the tapes and review those with his attorney, and the television monitors are available for the judges for the judges to review as well.

Is there any other matters that counsel wishes to the put on the record before we --

MR. GRECO: No, your Honor.

MS. PUSICH: The State agreed that Mr. Dennis does not need to be shackled during the proceedings and, in fact, the deputies have brought him in with his hands free so he can assist us.

JUDGE BERRY: Generally there are no opening statements; however, if the State or the defense would like a brief opening statement, that would be fine.

MR. GRECO: I would, your Honor. And it is going to be very brief.

ER 0815

2.5

9:12A24

Good morning.

6.

In terms of where we're going, I'm going to start off by briefly covering the facts for the instant offense to give the Court for the murder that this is individual has pled guilty to, then we will move into the evidence of the aggrevators.

We'll start with the 911 dispatcher that receives the call from Mr. Dennis on March 9 that starts this whole thing off, and in that 911 call he admits that he killed a woman whose body is still remaining in his room.

That will be followed up by Detective Burke, who will testify about the detailed videotape interview that you will see here in court. In that interview, the defendant makes a detailed -- extremely detailed confession to the murder that he's pled guilty to. He also in the video addresses the -- one of the four aggrevators that we alleged, which is the aggrevators which pertain to non-consensual sexual penetration just before, after or during the commission of the murder.

From there we will move on, have the pathologist testify about the cause of death and some testimony as to the same aggrevators that I mentioned.

From there we will move on to the other three aggrevators of the State's Notice of Intent, three prior felony convictions involving the use of or the threat of

use of violence upon the person of another.

We will introduce the certified documents evidencing those convictions, and also we have the witnesses from Washington who were the victims on those cases, and they will testify to give the Court a flavor as to the facts of those prior convictions and also to give the Court a little bit of a flavor for Mr. Dennis' character pursuant to NRS 175.552.

There will be quite a bit of additional evidence and I'll leave that for the Court's consideration as it comes in.

MS. PUSICH: We have no opening statement to make, your Honor.

JUDGE BERRY: Thank you very much.

Before we commence, the record should further reflect that counsel previously met with this Court in chambers, and based upon the stipulation of counsel, the Court provided copies of the Notice of Intent, the canvass and the aggrevators prepared by the State of Nevada to both Judge Memeo and Judge Cherry for their review prior to today's proceedings.

Additionally, on Friday of last week, the Court received the Presentence Investigation Report from the Division of Parole and Probation. Likewise, that report was copied and provided to Judge Memeo and Judge Cherry

9:14A12

1	for their review in preparation of today's proceedings.
2	Did counsel receive the Presentence Investigation
3	Report as well?
4	MR. GRECO: Yes, your Honor.
5	MS. PUSICH: Yes, your Honor.
6	JUDGE BERRY: Ms. Pusich, have you had an
7	opportunity to review that report with Mr. Dennis?
9:15A 8	MS. PUSICH: Yes, your Honor.
9	JUDGE BERRY: Again, you'll certainly have the
10	full opportunity to review the report and make any
11	corrections or modifications as we progress.
12	MS. PUSICH: Thank you, your Honor.
13	JUDGE BERRY: Mr. Greco, you may proceed.
14	MR. GRECO: Thank you, your Honor.
15	The State would call Ms. Carothers.
16	(Witness sworn.)
17	
18	ROBIN CAROTHERS
19	
20	called as a witness on behalf of the State, having been first duly sworn, was examined and
21	testified as follows:
9:16A22	
23	+++ DIRECT EXAMINATION +++
24	BY MR. GRECO:
25	Q Good morning. Would you please state your name

	9
1	and spell your last name?
2	A Robin Carothers, C-A-R-O-T-H-E-R-S.
3	Q Ms. Carothers, what do you do for a living?
4	A I work for the City of Reno Police Department as
5	a public safety dispatcher.
6.	Q Were you employed as a dispatcher back in March
7	of this year?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Were you on duty on the afternoon on March 9th of
10	this year?
11	A Yes.
12	n And did you receive any calls that afternoon that
13	stand out in your memory?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did the caller ever identify him or herself?
16	A I do not believe so.
17	Q What was the general nature of the call?
18	A In answering the call, the gentleman said that he
19	wanted to report a dead body and I wanted to know where he
20	was and what the circumstances of that were.
21	MR. GRECO: Your Honor, the parties have reached
22	a stipulation that Exhibit 4, which is the a copy of
23	the tape of that 911 call, is admissible into evidence.

MS. PUSICH: That's correct, your Honor.

9:17A24

25

MR. GRECO: May I play that for the Court?

10 JUDGE BERRY: You previously had that marked? 1 MR. GRECO: Yes, your Honor; State's Exhibit 4. 2 JUDGE BERRY: That will be marked and admitted, 3 and you may go ahead and play that. 4 (State's Exhibit 4 was admitted.) 5 JUDGE BERRY: Counsel, did you want the tape 6 reported? 7 MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, I think under the new 8 rule, it has to be. 9 JUDGE BERRY: That's correct, Ms. Pusich. 10 Please. 11 THE REPORTER: Do you want me to stop if I don't 12 understand something? 13 JUDGE BERRY: I've instructed the court reporter 14 to alert us immediately if she does not understand the 15 16 tape. MR. GRECO: That's as far as I can pull the tape 17 out. She can let us know if she has a problem hearing. I 18 can't move it any further out. I think the volume is 19 fine. 20 JUDGE BERRY: Did you wish to move down? 21 9:19A22 23 24 25 ER 0820

1	(The following portion of this transcript is the
2	audible portions of an audio tape, marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 4, played and
3	reported in open court:)
4	CALLER: I have the dead person in my room.
5	DISPATCH: Does it appear to be natural?
6	CALLER: No.
7	DISPATCH: Okay.
8	CALLER: I need to talk to a homicide
9	detective.
10	DISPATCH: Okay. Where are you? What's your
11	address?
12	CALLER: Well, can you, like, put me in touch
13	with somebody?
14	DISPACH:: Yeah, I will, sir, but I need to get
15	somebody out there to talk with you. I need to get an
16	address so we know where to meet you.
17	CALLER: Okay.
18	DISPATCH: At least what's your name?
19	CALLER: Huh?
20	DISPATCH: At least what's your name?
21	CALLER: Well, okay. I'm at the Horseshoe Motel.
22	DISPATCH: Uh-huh.
23	CALLER: Room number S-3. I'm on the third
24	floor.
25	DISPATCH: Do you know who this person is?

. 1	CALLER: Yeah Well, I don't even know her
2	name.
3	DISPATCH: Okay. You did you just show up there
4	and she was already dead or what?
5	CALLER: No. I killed her a couple days ago.
6	DISPATCH: You killed her a couple days ago?
9:20A 7	CALLER: Yeah.
8	DISPATCH: Okay. What's your name?
9	CALLER: I'm not going to tell you that.
10	DISPATCH: Well you
. 11	CALLER: Just send a detective up here, will you,
12	please.
13	DISPATCH: Are you going to wait there for
14	somebody?
15	CALLER: Yeah, but I don't want to a whole
16	fucking squad of people.
17	DISPATCH: No.
18	CALLER: I want one person.
19	DISPATCH: Well, you're going to get people over
20	there.
21	CALLER: Pardon me?
22	DISPATCH: You're going to get people over there.
23	CALLER: Well, I want one female and one male
24	detective. Everybody else can stay the fuck away.
25	DISPATCH: Okay.
	ER 0822

13 Okay? Because -- well, let's just put CALLER: 1 it this way: Just give me two plainclothes people up 2 here and the rest of them can just wait outside. 3 Okay? 4 DISPATCH: Okay. Can you at least give me your 5 name? I'll tell you my name. At lease we can talk 6 7 together. I don't care what your what name is, CALLER: 8 darlin'. I told you where I am and I told you what's 9 going on. All right? 10 ' DISPATCH: All right. Well, we're going to get 11 12 somebody out there. You bet. CALLER: (Inaudible) -- going on. Okay. 13 DISPATCH: Are you going to wait until we can 14 get somebody out there? Okay. 15 CALLEF: Well --16 DISPATCH: Are you going to be in the room or at 17 the pay phone? 18 CALLER: I'm right here in the room watching TV. 19 DISPATCH: Okay. You're going to wait there at 20 the pay phone for me? 21 CALLER: I'm not at a pay phone. I'm in the 9:21A22 23 room. DISPATCH: You're in the room? 24 CALLER: Yeah. 25 ER 0823

DISPATCH: Okay. But you're not going to tell me 1 2 your name? CALLER: Why should I? 3 DISPATCH: Well, because if you are going to 4 leave before I get somebody out there --5 CALLER: I'm not going to leave. I'll be right 6 here. 7 DISPATCH: Do you have any weapons in your room 8 now? 9 CALLER: Why should I tell you that? 10 ' DISPATCH: Come on. I'm just trying to get 11 people out there. If you've got a dead person, you 12 say you killed her, of course I'm going to have some 13 questions. 14 CALLER: I killed her with my hands, nothing 15 else. All right? 16 DISPATCH: Okay. I can understand that, but I've 17 got get somebody out there. 18 CALLER: All I want -- all I want -- all I 19 want -- all I want --20 DISPATCH: What you do you want? 21 CALLER: -- is two plainclothes people, one 22

CALLER: -- is two plainclothes people, one female and one male, to come up here and check this shit out. I don't need a whole fucking battalion.

shit out. I don't need a whole lucking baccar

All right?

23

24

25

26

DISPATCH: All right. We're going to get people

23

24

25

26

out there. Okay?

CALLER: I don't need a whole fucking battalion, though. Okay?

DISPATCH: You don't have any control of how many people go out there. Okay? But I'm going too put in the request that you want --

CALLER: Whatever.

DISPATCH: All right.

CALLER: Yeah.

DISPATCH: I just need to make sure that my scene is ,safe for the people coming in.

CALLER: Darlin', I'm just turning myself in. Okay? I'm not going to hurt anybody.

DISPATCH: Okay.

CALLER: I'm already done with that. Okay?

DISPATCH: All right. I still have to have the medics come out there because they have to make sure --

CALLER: Well, sure.

DISPATCH: -- that the subject is deceased.

CALLER: Jesus Christ. Send a coroner, too. The bitch has been dead for three or four days. Jesus, you know. So send the whole whatever. I don't give a fuck.

DISPATCH: All right. We have the people coming out there. The first units should be marked and there

/ww

	16
1	with you in a few minutes.
2	CALLER: Whatever.
3	DISPATCH: All right. What's your phone number
4	there?
5	CALLER: (No response.)
6	DISPATCH: Ah, shit.
7 8	* * *
9	MR. GRECO: That's all the questions I have of
10	this witness.
11	JUDGE BERRY: Cross-examine?
12	MS. PUSICH: Thank you, your Honor. We have no
13	questions.
14	JUDGE BERRY: May we thank and excuse this
15	witness?
16	MR. GRECO: Yes, your Honor.
17	MS. PUSICH: Yes, your Honor.
18	JUDGE BERRY: You may stand down.
19	MR. GRECO: Detective Robert Bennett is next.
9:23A20	May we approach for one moment, your Honor?
21	JUDGE BERRY: You may.
22	(Discussion at side bar off the record.)
23	JUDGE BERRY: This Court will stand in recess for
24	approximately five minutes.
25	(Recess.) ER 0826

9:39A 1

2.2

JUDGE BERRY: Before we call the next witness, the record should reflect that Mr. Greco requested a side-bar conference, and under the rule, of course, everything should be on the record.

Ms. Clerk, did you get everything on the record that was said on the side bar?

THE REPORTER: No.

JUDGE BERRY: Then the record should reflect that Mr. Greco approached, along with Ms. Pusich and Mr. Petty, and indicated that the two detectives who had been subpoenaed had been delayed, they are on the their way to the court, and Mr. Greco asked for a brief recess to secure the next witness' appearance.

And, in the future, if a side bar is requested, we'll either need the court reporter over, and we'll make every effort not to have any future side bars.

MR. GRECO: I apologize for that. I think I was on auto pilot.

JUDGE BERRY: Understood, as was the Court. My able colleagues, they whipped me into shape and they are going to keep me on track so that we make sure that we follow 250 to the letter. Everything will be on the record.

And, for our court reporter, all tapes, any comments, and if we stray, our court reporter likewise

18 feel free to remind the Court. 1 2 Please proceed. MR. GRECO: Detective Bob Bennett. 9:40A 3 (Witness sworn.) JUDGE BERRY: Mr. Greco, I apologize for 5 interrupting one more time. 6 Before we commence with this witness, if you and 7 Ms. Pusich and Mr. Petty would please put on the record 8 your qualifications pursuant to 250 as well. 9 MR. GRECO: Yes, your Honor. I don't know if the 10 rule specifically applies to prosecutors in terms of the 11 training but my training is as follows: 12 I've prosecuted about 30 murder cases. I've 13 tried 11 murder cases to conclusion. I have tried one 14 previous capital matter through conclusion, including 15 through verdict and penalty, and everything in front of a 16 jury. 17 JUDGE BERRY: Have you attended the Nevada 18 Capital Case Workshop? 19 MR. GRECO: I have attended a similar program in 20 California, your Honor. 21 9:41A22 JUDGE BERRY: Thank you. 23 Ms. Pusich?

MS. PUSICH: Thank you, your Honor.

I was licensed to practice in the State of Nevada

24

25

in 1986, the State of California in 1988, the State of Washington in 1994. I'm active only in Nevada.

I supervise homicides for the Washoe County

Public Defender's Office. I have tried two death penalty

cases to conclusion which resulted in a sentence of death.

Both of those have been changed in subsequent litigation.

I'm currently involved in three other capital cases other than Mr. Dennis'. Last year I tried five murder cases to conclusion. I completed the Capital Case Workshop in January, and the Monterey Seminar for Public Defenders and Attorneys for Criminal Justice in February of 1999.

JUDGE BERRY: Thank you.

MR. PETTY: Good morning. I'm John Petty with the Washoe County Public Defender. For the past five-and-a-half years, I have headed the Appellate Department of that department and in the course of my duties there I have written over five, six death penalty appeals; one successfully getting the death penalty reversed, that was in Chambers versus State.

I have attended the Capital Workshop at the Judicial College last December when it was first offered, and spoke there again in the first part of June when it was offered the second time.

My trial experience goes back sometime. I was

licensed to practice law in 1980; California in 1979.

Between 1985 and 1997, I was a trial deputy in the Washoe

County Public Defender's Office and did try several felony

cases to verdict.

The only murder case that I can think of I did not actually try it, I sat as second chair to a defendant who wished to represent himself, but my experience primarily now with the death penalty is in the appellate process.

JUDGE BERRY: The record should further reflect that the judges that serve on this panel have attended and completed the Nevada Capital Case Workshop, and the provisions and the public policy behind Rule 250 is that all the participants in any death penalty proceedings be as well educated as humanly possible as to the law and rules associated with this very serious type of matter.

For those reasons, I had requested counsel put their experience on the record. Clearly they are highly qualified to proceed in this matter and, Mr. Greco, you may proceed with the witness.

MR. GRECO: Thank you, your Honor.

9:43A10

25 / / / /

ER 0830

21 ROBERT BENNETT 1 2 called as a witness on behalf of the State, 3 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 5 +++ DIRECT EXAMINATION +++ 6 7 BY MR. GRECO: 8 Would you please state your name and spell your 9 Q last name? 10 Robert Bennett, B-E-N-N-E-T-T. 11 Who are you employed by? 12 Q The Reno Police Department. 13 In what specific capacity? Q 14 I'm a detective in the Robbery Homicide Division. 15 16 How long have you been employed by the police 17 department? 9:44A18 Twelve years. Α How long have you been a detective? 19 Q 20 Α Three years. Now, were you one of the case detectives in the 21 matter of the State of Nevada versus Terry Jess Dennis? 22 Α Yes, I was. 23 Referring your attention specifically to Monday, 24 March 9th of this year, did you obtain a search warrant 25

		22
	1	in conjunction with that case?
	2	A Yes, I did.
	3	Q Can you recall from what judge you obtained the
	4	search warrant?
	5	A I believe it was Judge Salcedo.
	6	Q For what residence was the search warrant?
	7	A It was for the Horseshoe Motel, I believe the
	8	room number was S-9.
	9	Q Can you recall about what time you made entry to
	10	the room pursuant to the search warrant?
	11	A It was approximately 7:15, 7:30 at night.
	12	MR. GRECO: Your Honor, the search warrant has
	13	been marked as State's Exhibit 2 and the parties have
	14	stipulated that it is admissible into evidence.
	15	MS. PUSICH: That is correct.
	16	JUDGE BERRY: It will be admitted.
	17	(State's Exhibit 2 was admitted.)
9:45	A18.	MR. GRECO: May I approach, your Honor?
	19	JUDGE BERRY: You may.
	. 20	BY MR. GRECO:
•	21	Q I'm going to briefly show you Exhibit 2. Do you
	22	recognize that Xerox?
	23	A Yes, I do.
	24	Q Is that a Xerox of a search warrant that
	2 5	authorized you to make the entry in this case?

A Yes, it is.

Q Now, please describe -- What was the exact motel room number, Detective Bennett? Is that listed on the search warrant?

A Yes, it is. It's S-3.

Q Does that refresh your recollection as to the actual room number?

A Yes, it did.

Q Please describe for the Court what you saw once you entered that room.

A 'When I entered the room, I saw a typical motel room that had two beds, a dresser, a TV, a table or a stand.

There was quite a bit of debris, bottles, empty food containers. There was a bed that looked like it had been slept in, the sheets were back; and the other bed had a blanket covering a lumpy form that turned out to be the deceased.

Q We'll get to the photos in a moment.

When you lifted up that bedspread on the second bed, describe the position of the deceased.

A It was a white female laying on her stomach. Her head was oriented towards the east, southeast. The right side of her face was up. Her legs were spread about 90 degrees to each over. There was a pillow under her pelvis

9:46A19

which protruded the buttocks up into the air.

- Q Was she entire -- Was the body entirely naked?
- A It was covered by a blanket but the body itself was completely unclothed.
- Q Did you notice any hairs or apparent blood on the body of the deceased?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - Q Describe that and its location.
- A Well, starting at the head, there was some purge coming from the nose and mouth. The rest of the body was unremarkable until you got to the buttock area. There was some dried, what looked like blood to me, it was that color.
  - Q Where?
- A On the buttock near the anus. And there was some black hairs that were not -- that were not part of her body that were just laying on the buttock near the anus, and there was some visible moisture deeper inside the anus.
- Q Did you locate any identification in the room for a female?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - Q What was the name on the identification?
  - A I would have trouble saying it. It's Straumanis.
    - Q Do you recall the first name?

9:47A14

- A Ilona.
- Q Do you know the spelling of that as you sit here now?
  - A Absolutely not.
  - Q Would that be reflected in your report?
- 6 A Yes.

4

5

7

8

9

10

14

15

- Q If I showed you a copy of your report, would that refresh your recollection?
- A It would, but I'd still have to read it off the report to get it right.
- MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, we're willing to

  stipulate that the victim is Ilona Straumanis. I believe

  the --
  - MR. GRECO: That name is reflected in the Information, your Honor.
- 16 I-L-O-N-A, S-T-S-T-R-A-U-M-A-N-I-S.
- 9:49A17 MR. GRECO: Thank you, Counsel.
  - 18 BY MR. GRECO:
  - Q And so you found identification in the name of Ilona Straumanis?
  - 21 A Correct.
  - Q Did you compare the physical descriptors on the identification card to the body?
  - 24 A Yes, I did.
  - Q Did they appear to match?

Α They sure did. 1 Did you notice any signs of forced entry to the 2 room? 3 Α I did not, and I checked specifically for that. Did you notice any receipts in the name of any 5 other persons in the room? 6 I noted, among other things, a room receipt for 7 the Horseshoe Motel in the name of Terry Dennis. 9:50A 9 Detective Burke -- Detective Bennett, did you Q notice a belt? 10 Any what? 11 Any belts. Q 12 Yes, I did. 13 Α Describe the belt and where was it located. 14 I found a man's belt. It was brown leather. 15 was on the floor in between the far east bed and the east 16 wall. 17 MR. GRECO: Your Honor, Exhibits 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 18 19 and 1.4 have been marked for identification. They are photos of the room and counsel have stipulated that these 20 are admissible into evidence. 21 22 MS. PUSICH: That's correct, your Honor. JUDGE BERRY: Those will be admitted. 23 (State's Exhibits 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 24 were admitted.) 25 ER 0836

## BY MR. GRECO:

9:51A10

Q Detective Bennett, I'd like to go through them one at a time. Since the Court is near, just stand up, describe what's depicted in each photograph in the order the photographs have been handed to you.

A This is the room that we went into, and this would be a view as you walk in the hallway.

JUDGE BERRY: Sir, what number is on that exhibit you're talking about?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. This Exhibit 1.1.

JUDGE BERRY: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: It depicts the room as you walk in with the bed to the right. There are two beds, one that does not have a bed sheet, one that has the bedspread covering a form.

You can see the far east wall, this is the east wall, this is the window. The only other access to the room is this window and the door I'm standing at when the picture was taken.

This is the heating unit. This is the south wall that the head of the beds are on. On the left of that, you can't see, is the north wall that has the TV and a bed stand or nightstand, or whatever the TV is sitting on.

There's a table over here with the used alcohol containers and cups and glasses on it. There's clothing

on this chair that turned out to be woman's clothing, and there was some men's clothing underneath it.

You cannot see from this picture, but in between -- there's -- in between this bed and the wall, 14 inches, that's where the belt was lying on the floor.

The next one is Exhibit No. 1.2. This picture is taken facing south looking at the bed that the body was laying on with the blanket still intact, and it just shows more scale of the distance in between the wall and the two beds.

The bedspread is such a color you can barely tell there's a form there unless you look close, but there is a form under the covers and that is of the victim.

Exhibit 1.3 is the bedspread removed but nothing else disturbed. We carefully took the bedspread off. We bagged the hand of the victim that we reached. This is how the victim was laying under the bedspread with the pillows as I described under her pelvis area and the legs spread at the 90-degree angle.

There's nothing else remarkable in this except that you can see that the right foot and the right hand overhang the bed.

This is Exhibit No. 1.4 with the bedspread still in place. You'll see the space in between the bed that the victim is on and the wall, you'll see a man's belt

9:52A 6

, 7

9:53A23

JUDGE BERRY: Anything else from the State?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

24

25

9:54A23

Q

Q

Α

Q

Α

Q

Α

Q

your Honor.

30 Nothing further, your Honor. MR. GRECO: 1 Judge Cherry has questions. JUDGE BERRY: 2 3 +++ EXAMINATION +++ 4 BY JUDGE CHERRY: 5 Detective, tell me the about the alcohol 6 containers that you found in the room? 7 There were numerous empty containers that were Α 8 vodka containers and beer containers. The exact brand I 9 would probably have to refer to my report, but as I 10 recall, there were probably two one-liter bottles of vodka 11 and several empty beer containers, and there was also some 12 mixers like an orange juice kind of stuff that were half 13 full. 14 JUDGE BERRY: Any questions, Judge Memeo? 15 Any questions from either the State or the 16 defense based Judge Cherry's questions? 17 MR. GRECO: No, your Honor. 18 MS. PUSICH: No, your Honor. 19 JUDGE BERRY: You may stand -- May we thank and 20 excuse this witness? 21 MR. GRECO: Yes, your Honor. 22 MS. PUSICH: Yes, your Honor. 23 JUDGE BERRY: You may stand down. 24

Detective Jim Burke is next.

MR. GRECO:

25

18/

(:

	31
9:55A 1	(Witness sworn.)
2	
3	JAMES BURKE
4	
5	called as a witness on behalf of the State,
6	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
7	
8	+++ DIRECT EXAMINATION +++
9	BY MR. GRECO:
. 10	Q Good morning.
10	
11	A Good morning.
12	Q Would you state your full name and spell your
13	last name?
14	A James Harold Burke, B-U-R-K-E.
15	Q Who are you employed by?
16	A Reno Police Department.
17	Q In what capacity?
18	A I'm a detective in the Robbery Homicide section.
19	Q How long have you been a police officer?
20	A Eleven years.
21	Q How long have you been a detective?
22	A Six.
23	Q During what portion of that six years have been
24	assigned to Robbery Homicide?
25	A About five and a half. ER 0841

Now, who is the primary case agent or case 1 detective in the matter of the State of Nevada versus Terry Jess Dennis? 3 I am. 9:56A 4 Describe to the Court how you first became 5 involved in that case. 6 I was in my unmarked vehicle driving in the Reno 7 area when I overheard a call being broadcast to patrol 8 units on March 9th at about 4:10 p.m. of the call to the 9 Horseshoe Motel. 10 Did that call cause you to respond somewhere? 11 Yes, it did. 12 Where did you go? Q 13 The Horseshoe Motel located at 450 Lake Street. 14 Can you recall at about roughly what time you 15 arrived there at the Horseshoe? 16 Approximately 4:15. 17 What's the nearest intersection to Lake Street 18 that the motel is located? 19 It would be Fifth. Α 20 And once you arrived, did you have contact with 21 the suspect? 22 Yes, I did. Α. 23 What was the suspect's name? Q 24 ER 0842 Terry Dennis. À 25

	1	33
	1	Q Do you see Mr. Dennis here in the courtroom?
	2	A Yes, he is. He's wearing the green coveralls
	3	with the sun glasses.
	4	Q Did you ask the defendant if he would be willing
	5	to sit down to do an interview with you?
9:58A	. 6	A Yes, I did.
	7	Q Did he agree to that?
	8	A Yes, he did.
	9	Q Did you actually transport the defendant Terry
	10	Dennis to the police department for the interview?
	11	A 'No, I did not.
	12	Q Before he was transported, did you or anyone in
	13	your presence ask the defendant if he had any weapons?
	14	A Yes. I asked him if he had any weapons with him
	15	and he replied no, that he didn't have any weapons; that
	16	he didn't use any fucking weapons to kill her; that he had
	17	used his hands to kill her.
	18	Q That statement again occurred at the scene?
	19	A Yes, it did.
	20	Q Now, can you recall at about what time your
	21	formal interview at the police department started?
	22	A I believe it started at 1708, which was 5:08 p.m.
	23	Q Was the defendant under arrest at this point?
	24	A No, he was not.
	25	Q Was he handcuffed during your interview of him?

1 A No, he was not.

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9:59A 5

Q Prior to the commencement of the substantive portion of the interview, did you provide the defendant with his Constitutional rights?

A Yes, I did.

Q Detective Burke, was the entire interview videotaped?

A Yes, it was.

Q And did you have a chance to review the videotape after it was completed?

A ' Yes, I did.

Q Was it an accurate copy or reproduction of what actually occurred?

A Yes.

MR. GRECO: I'm going to move for the admission of that tape, which has been marked as Exhibit 5. This is a redacted tape and I have redacted out the portions prior to the giving of the Miranda warnings where there's simply chitchat, and I've redacted out the last third of the interview where the interview becomes extremely repetitive simply to save time.

However, in the discovery process the defense has been provided with a copy of the original, complete tape and they have that, and they'll be free to play that if they so desire during the defense case. So it is a

/85

10:00A3

5

4

7.

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

10:01**A**1

22

23

24

25

redacted tape but at this point I'll move for the admission of State's Exhibit 5.

MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, we have concerns with the redaction. We are not objecting to the State making the proceedings go more expeditiously but part of what is redacted ties into my client's mental health history.

Part of what is removed is the defendant being left alone in the room and speaking to himself at length.

MR. GRECO: That's fine, we can mark or introduce whatever exhibit they wish, but I'll simply go ahead and mark the complete original now and I'll play the portion I wish to play, and they can play the portion they wish to, if that's more agreeable.

MS. PUSICH: That would be.

JUDGE BERRY: The Court is concerned, and I'd like to cover with my colleagues that we may be getting this presentation in a disjointed fashion.

Is there any fashion --

JUDGE CHERRY: I think if we watch the unredacted it would make it easier so it's not taken out of content.

JUDGE MEMEO: That would fine with me.

MR. GRECO: Your Honor, I'd withdraw State's

Exhibit 5 and I will -- which is the redacted tape, and

I'm going to have the new full tape marked.

JUDGE BERRY: Can you give the Court an

18w

1 | estimation of the length of the full tape?

MR. GRECO: The total run time is about an hour 40 minutes. There are a number of segments -- well, the total running time is an hour and 40 minutes.

JUDGE BERRY: We'll take about a half hour of the tape, take a 15-minute recess and then we will reconvene until the tape is concluded prior to noontime.

THE CLERK: Exhibit 17.

(State's Exhibit 17 was marked.)

MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, also for the record, we have previously conferred with the court reporter and she will be provided with transcripts of the things that we're asking her to transcribe to help her in that.

JUDGE BERRY: Thank you very much.

And also, Deputy, can you tell me if the monitor can conjoin on with this so Judge Memeo --

THE BAILIFF: No.

JUDGE BERRY: We'll need you to bring the television out.

MR. GRECO: The new tape has been marked as State's Exhibit 17, and I believe we have a stipulation that this tape, which is the complete tape of the entire interview, is admissible into evidence.

MS. PUSICH: That's correct.

JUDGE BERRY: It will be admitted then.

10:02 14

15

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

court.

10:04A5

6

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

10:05A5

(State's Exhibit 17 was admitted.)

MR. GRECO: Is that a good location for the

Thank you. JUDGE BERRY: Yes.

MR. GRECO: Your Honor, I should indicate for the record, the court reporter asked me a moment ago to rewind the first few seconds because she had trouble hearing, and I would indicate that the first 30 seconds or so the sound is garbled, the picture is going to be garbled, although it clears up rather quickly. They are apparently talking about some type of baseball game.

JUDGE BERRY: The court reporter should just do the best she can under the quality and circumstances of the tape, and Ms. Pusich indicated there's a stipulation that the written transcript will be provided to the court reporter as well.

MS. PUSICH: That is correct, your Honor.

JUDGE BERRY: Are you seeking to make that part of the record; that we will attach that written transcript of the tape in addition to the court reporter's?

MS. PUSICH: Judge, I think that would be appropriate. Just in the few seconds we've heard, I believe the police transcriber, she had her -- she's gotten a few words that I couldn't hear.

> That's fine. If counsel is willing MR. GRECO:

stipulate that the transcript be made part of the record,

I believe the transcript should read at the top that it's

certainly not a certified transcript. The transcriber,

it's a very good transcript but is in no way, shape or

form certified to be 100 percent accurate each and every

word; if counsel wishes to stipulate to it.

MS. PUSICH: I do. It is titled just the way Mr. Greco reported. It is not certified, we all understand, but it's easier to get through than the court reporter is going to find this morning.

marked and admitted in the record. For appellate purposes, they will at least have the tape, the transcript from the police department, as well as the court reporter's notes, and of those three pieces of evidence, certainly a thorough, complete review could be conducted, and for those reasons we'll go ahead I'd request counsel to provide a copy of that to the clerk for marking and admission.

MR. GRECO: Yes, your Honor. Can I do that on the break?

JUDGE BERRY: Certainly.

\* \* \* \* \*

24 / / / /

10:06A0

25 / / / / ER 0848

(The following portion of this transcript is the audible portions of the videotaped interview, marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 17, played and reported in open court:)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

MR. DENNIS: -- (inaudible) the football game going was the goddamn (inaudible) and in '76 they came in with (inaudible) and the (inaudible) and the same season (inaudible) two major league teams, and the (inaudible), they were the (inaudible) champs and the A's and Mariners did really, what, back in, what '95, '96, whatever the fuck (inaudible). But they did (inaudible) after that, but it was -- it was very good, very good, but what your superstars and stuff, they're not going to favor the idea (inaudible). in Seattle, it's just legendary that the owners of the major league teams is going to pay (inaudible) for (inaudible). So all the good guys, all the good players, they always leave, you know. Then they get quys like Frank O'Hare who is a fucking has-been, (inaudible). That was fucking '85, '86. But just notorious for it, but Nordstrom's, who own the fucking team (inaudible) paying (inaudible).

22

But I'm rambling (inaudible). I'll give all that (inaudible) this is guy coming back (inaudible) --

23

THE OFFICER: (Inaudible).

24

25

MR. DENNIS: -- (inaudible) can't I have a

19,

41 assistance to all of us if we had the transcript that we 1 could follow the tape with. 2 MR. GRECO: Yes, your Honor. The transcript is 10:14A3 quite lengthy, so it will take me a little bit of time to 4 make three copies of that. I would guess I would need 20 5 minutes to do that. I would be happy to do that. 6 I would alert you as to the sound quality --7 again, this is not a portion I originally intended to play 8 to the Court -- the quality once the interview commences 9 is better. 10 ' I can do that but it will take a little bit of 11 time, but it is long. 12 JUDGE BERRY: It's 10:15. We'll take a 20-minute 13 recess. If you could get your staff to get the 14 transcript, I think throughout the tape there will be bits 15 and pieces, and it will be easier for us to track with the 16 17 tape. Is that correct, Judge Cherry, is that your --18 JUDGE CHERRY: Yes. 19 Judge Memeo? JUDGE BERRY: 20 JUDGE MEMEO: That's fine. 21 JUDGE BERRY: Let's take a 20-minute recess and 22 be back. 23 (Recess.) 24 (State's Exhibit 18 was marked.) 10:51A5

ER 0851

JUDGE BERRY: You may proceed. 1 MR. GRECO: Your Honor, I provided copies of the 2 transcript of this interview to the reporter, as well as 3 to each of the three court members. The Clerk did mark 4 your Honor's copy and I don't have the exhibit -- Is that 5 Exhibit No. 18, I believe? 6 JUDGE BERRY: It is 18. 7 MR. GRECO: For the Court's reference. 8 JUDGE BERRY: Thank you. 9 MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, also, you'll be starting 10 in the middle of the last paragraph on page 2. 11 JUDGE BERRY: Thank you. 12 13 14 (The following portion of this transcript is the 15 audible portions of the videotaped interview, marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 17, played 16 and reported in open court:) 17 (Inaudible.) MR. DENNIS: 18 (Inaudible) gives a fuck any (inaudible) fuck, I 10:53 19 don't care (inaudible) what are they going to do, 20 arrest me (inaudible) fucking smoke detector 21 22 (inaudible) I don't know. (Inaudible.) 10:54A3 (Inaudible.) 24 (Inaudible.) 25 ER 0852

Fuck you. 1 Jeez. 10:56A2 Fucking thing (inaudible) fucking (inaudible). (Inaudible) I ain't saying shit. 11:00A4 What the (inaudible). 5 (Inaudible.) 6 What the fuck is this? This is bullshit 11:01A7 (inaudible). (Inaudible.) 11:03A9 Come on (inaudible), give me your best shot 10 (inaudible). 11 (Inaudible.) 12 DETECTIVE BURKE: Terry, this is Mohammad 11:0413 Rafaquat. He's one of my partners. 14 MR. DENNIS: -- have an ashtray? 15 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Yeah, I'll get you one. 16 MR. DENNIS: Thank you, I appreciate it. 17 (Inaudible.) 18 You know what? I got (inaudible) myself so 19 long --20 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: No, no, no (inaudible). 21 MR. DENNIS: The last thing in the world I'm 22 worried about is getting busted for smoking. 23 Okay? 24 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I don't blame you there. 25

44 (Inaudible.) 1 (Inaudible.) 2 DETECTIVE BURKE: How's that drink? Everything 3 okay? MR. DENNIS: It's great (inaudible). 5 DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you want another one? 6 MR. DENNIS: Yeah, I'm halfway through this one. 7 (Inaudible.) 8 I've been drinking a lot of beer (inaudible) and 9 you get so dehydrated and everything 10 DETECTIVE BURKE/RAFAQUAT: Yeah. When you want 11 another one, let me know. 12 MR. DENNIS: I will (inaudible) fucking out of 13 hand, you know what I mean about that? 14 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I'm not trying (inaudible). 11:05A5 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) I don't have a lawyer 16 yet or anything. 17 DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you want a lawyer? 18 MR. DENNIS: Actually, I'm just going to go ahead 19 and talk this whole thing. Fuck it. I don't need a 20 l'awyer. 21 DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you have any --22 (Inaudible.) 23 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible). 24 DETECTIVE BURKE: Do the best we can --

MR. DENNIS: That's fine (inaudible) explain 1 everything to me before we go anywhere with this. 2 DETECTIVE BURKE: I'm going to try my best --3 This is the first time I've been MR. DENNIS: busted --5 I'll do my best and Mc here DETECTIVE BURKE: 6 will back me up and anything I forget --7 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible). 8 DETECTIVE BURKE: Based on your phone call to our 9 dispatch center, we're investigating a homicide, based 10 on what you told the dispatcher and what you told me 11 out at the scene. You remember that? 12 MR. DENNIS: Sort of. 13 DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible.) 14 I know what happened, but I don't MR. DENNIS: 15 know what I said --16 DETECTIVE BURKE: Before we --17 MR. DENNIS: -- so take me back. 18 DETECTIVE BURKE: Before we --11:06A9 MR. DENNIS: You tell me what I said and we'll 20 see if I agree --21 DETECTIVE BURKE: I will and when -- when we get 22 to that point, before we do that, I'll need to get 23 some information about you to know who you are. 24 (Inaudible). MR. DENNIS: 25 ER 0855

DETECTIVE BURKE: You know computers are not personable (inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: I've been arrested for assault, attempted murder, all kinds of bullshit. So, you know, all you've got to do is run NCIC on me, you'll find out real quick --

DETECTIVE BURKE: Here's some of the stuff -
MR. DENNIS: -- only the shit I've been caught

for, though.

DETECTIVE BURKE: How long have you been in Reno?

MR. DENNIS: How many times am I going to

answer --

DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) some of these questions you are going to hear two or three times.

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) man.

DETECTIVE BURKE: How long have you been here?

MR. DENNIS: I came here in August of '95 to, what, that's three-and-a-half years, roughly.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Where did you come from, Terry?

MR. DENNIS: Seattle.

DETECTIVE BURKE: That's a good town.

MR. DENNIS: Use to be.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What did you do for a living when you are working? I know you're not now, but when you are working.

ER 0856

MR. DENNIS: When why I was working, I was a glass cutter, glazier. I can't do that anymore. I hurt my back and between my (inaudible) and Vietnam, my mind went tilt and I've been on disability for a couple of years. I was taking drugs for that.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What type of drugs have you been taking?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) VA Hospital or was.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Here in Reno?

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, yeah. Prozac, (inaudible) and (inaudible) for sleep.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you have a list of the medications in the room at all, or a VA card --

MR. DENNIS: The VA has it on file. I've got a card on me. I could tell you my doctor's name and then if you wanted to check it (inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Do you mind if we do that, Terry?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Do you have that stuff with you, your doctor's name?

DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you have your wallet? Did they take your wallet from you?

MR. DENNIS: No, actually, they didn't take my wallet. I'm really surprised. As a matter of fact, I

11:08 14

have a (inaudible) here somewhere along the line (inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Do you mind if I make a copy of that?

MR. DENNIS: I don't care (inaudible) at this fucking point I couldn't really care about this.

(Inaudible.)

I missed my last one.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Who is your doctor, Terry?

MR. DENNIS: Well, they keep changing them on me.

Here's that, you can find out yourself, you know what

I mean? It would be easier for you (inaudible), you

know.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Who was your doctor, the last doctor (inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: The last time I was inpatient, his name is Dan Bibber or Biber -- Bibber, I think it was. Big, old fat fucker with a beard (inaudible) I think her name was (inaudible), she was scared of me.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Why is that?

MR. DENNIS: Well, because I am (inaudible). I don't know who the dude's name is, his first name is John, he run the ADPT program down there on the fourth floor at the hospital, he knows probably a little bit more about me than anybody else does down there. See,

I was giving her a bad time but I was really being nice but she was scared of me because she didn't see me before and didn't know anything (inaudible) and he came in and told I was having a good day compared to (inaudible), gone in there before. The last time  $\mathbf{I}_{i}$ checked in, I checked myself in about a month ago and told them that I had this thing going. I picked up a gal and my thing was to hold her, torture her and kill, you know (inaudible) I went to the hospital and I told them. Look, I have this thing in my head, this is what I want to do, and they held me for a week and they cut me loose, and I came back out and did it. It's like, fuck, what's wrong with this?

DETECTIVE BURKE: All right. We're --

MR. DENNIS: Somebody should have stopped me somewhere along the line, don't you think?

DETECTIVE BURKE: Yeah, they should have. going to have to jump right into this, okay, because we're -- you're --

MR. DENNIS: I know, we've gone past something here.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Yeah. Like I explained to you before, we're investigating a homicide based on your comments to the -- when you called the dispatch and asked for the police officers to come to the house.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

MR. DENNIS: Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

11:12A2

23

24

25

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. To protect your rights and our rights (inaudible) I'm going to stop for a second and let me read you your rights.

MR. DENNIS: Yeah.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Now I have to read them to you on tape, for your rights and mine, so to prove I read them (inaudible) --

MR. DENNIS: -- Mirandize (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay.

, MR. DENNIS: You have the right to remain silent, anything you say may and will be used against you (inaudible) --

DETECTIVE BURKE: You have (inaudible) better than I do.

MR. DENNIS: This ain't my first rodeo.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. Transcriber, should say this in reference to RPD 64128-999. Today's date is the 9th of March, the time is 1708. Present is one Terry Jess Dennis and myself, Detective Burke, and Detective Rafaquat.

Terry, like I explained to you off tape, we're investigating a homicide that occurred over at the Horseshoe Motel based on your phone call to our dispatch center. ER 0860

23

24

25

MR. DENNIS: Uh-huh.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Before we go into any questioning of that, to protect your rights and our rights, I need to read you your Miranda rights, and the first you will be asked (inaudible)

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I'll go grab one.

DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: I have the right to remain silent. I have the right to refuse questioning until such time as I request a lawyer and (inaudible), I may or may or may not give up those rights. I know this shit more than you do.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: No, no, now come on. been a long day. You're downing that Coke pretty good. You must have been --

MR. DENNIS: Dehydrated, man.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Oh, yeah.

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: You have anything to eat?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) yeah, I had breakfast this morning. First thing I've eaten in days. on or off?

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Yeah, It's off. It will be (inaudible) -- okay.

ER 0861

(Inaudible).

11:14A3

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. I'm going to do it again.

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay, Terry, you have the right to remain silent. Anything you say can be used against you in a court of law. You have the right to talk to a lawyer and have him present with you while you are being questioned. If you cannot afford a lawyer, one will be appointed to represent you for any questioning, if you wish one. Do you understand these rights as I've explained to you?

MR. DENNIS: Yes.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Having these rights in mind, did you wish to talk to us now?

MR. DENNIS: Yes, I'll tell you what happened, and (inaudible) I'll tell you how it happened.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What I need you to do for me is initial one through four, and (inaudible) one and two right here and then your signature.

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) write anything down (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: I just need your initials here that just shows that you understand what I just read to you.

ER 0862

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Yeah.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Terry, you understand we want to talk to you, we want to hear what you have to say, how it happened (inaudible) we have to do this for administrative --

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, yeah, I know (inaudible) dot the I's, cross the T's, I understand all that. Like I said, this isn't my first fucking rodeo. Come on, give me a break.

DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) put yes in number one and number two (inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: Sure, why not. Hey, you guys, like I said, this ain't my first rodeo. Like I said, I don't give a fuck at this point, so, you know, I'll try and make it easy on you, make it easy on me. I appreciate you letting me smoke and shit. You know what? That's the best thing you could of done.

DETECTIVE BURKE: We want to make this very easy you.

MR. DENNIS: You want to go home.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible) we're here (inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, yeah, whatever, whatever.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Did I get you a Coke?

1	54						
1	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) you can get me another						
2	one, if you want.						
. 3	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible) do you want one						
4	right now?						
5	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).						
6	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: All right.						
7	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).						
8	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Yeah, I know. Okay.						
9	MR. DENNIS: We can do this						
10	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: You've been here four-and-a-						
11	half years?						
12	MR. DENNIS: Three and a half.						
13	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Three and a half. Have you						
14	worked at all while you've been in Reno?						
15	MR. DENNIS: A couple of days at Labor Ready a						
16	little bit, and then I worked at Cal-Neva for a couple						
17	of weeks and I worked at the Flamingo for a couple of						
18	weeks; other than that, no.						
19	DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you have any family here?						
20	MR. DENNIS: No, I don't.						
21	DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you have any family back						
22	in Seattle?						
23	MR. DENMIS: I have a son and three ex-wives.						
24	DETECTIVE BURKE: I'm not far behind; I have two						
25	ex-wives. ER 0864						

MR. DENNIS: I have a son (inaudible) he's like 22, 23 in August. He doesn't want nothing to do with me so, you know, his mom raised him and poisoned his mind (inaudible) whatever. It has nothing to do with this.

DETECTIVE BURKE: I know.

MR. DENNIS: Let's cut to the chase. What do you want to know?

DETECTIVE BURKE: Well, how long (inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) cut to the chase here.

DETECTIVE BURKE: How long had you been staying at that motel?

MR. DENNIS: I got there on (inaudible), I believe.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Which would have been -- MR. DENNIS: The 3rd.

DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) do you recall --

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) receipts there, I don't know, I'm sure you guys swept the room (inaudible) you know.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Do you recall what room you were in when you first checked in?

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, I was in 210 first and, I don't know, a day or two later came and told me they had that room rented to someone else, it was suppose

to be a non-smoking room, so I moved upstairs.

11:17A5

DETECTIVE BURKE: Did you check in (inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: Not on paper, but yeah.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Who did you check --

MR. DENNIS: Actually, no, I checked in by myself and then I picked this broad up, I don't know, that night or the next night. I don't know exactly.

DETECTIVE BURKE: This broad, can you describe her?

MR. DENNIS: The dead one in the room, yeah.

DETECTIVE BURKE: So you checked into the first room?

MR. DENNIS: I checked in by myself. I went there by myself, and then I went over to -- (inaudible) oh, West Second Street bar, yeah (inaudible) Karoake joint, because I like to do Karaoke, and I ran (inaudible) along the way and she was -- she didn't have a coat on. It was colder than shit out, she didn't have a coat on or anything. I took my coat off and put it on her, and we got talking and shit, and so I took her over to the bar, sang her a couple of songs and we went back to my room.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. Let me backtrack a little bit. You checked into the motel room about

what time on the 3rd, do you remember, morning, afternoon, night?

MR. DENNIS: I'm not sure. It might have been like 10:00, 11:00 in the morning. I'm not sure.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What time did you go to the Second Street bar?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) that was probably -- well (inaudible) about 9:00. I think I got there about 8:00.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Did you know anybody (inaudible)?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) no, that was the first night (inaudible), that would have been Friday night, I'm not sure or Thursday. I'm not sure. I'm not sure.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay.

MR. DENNIS: Like I say, (inaudible) vodka a day for the last week and I'm just not sure about a lot of things.

DETECTIVE BURKE: When you were in the bar, do you know anybody in that bar? Is there any particular reason you go to that bar?

MR. DENNIS: Just because it's a lot of fun. I like to sing and I've always been well-received there. The guy that -- the kind of like disc jockey guy --

11:18A3

thanks -- calls me by my monitor.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What do you go by, your monitor?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) and, you know, it's a -it's a fun place, it's a fun ass fucking place. It's
real loose, it's a lot of women, you know, it's a fun
place.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What's the DJ's name?

MR. DENNIS: I don't know (inaudible)

DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) do you remember what he looks like, white guy, black guy?

MR. DENNIS: He was white.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Is he there all the time?

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, he's the guy that runs the --

the Karaoke thing.

DETECTIVE BURKE: In the evening?

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, they start at 9:00 and they run until about, what, 4:30 in the morning. They don't even open the place until 2:00 in the afternoon. It's a nighttime club kind of thing, West Second Street Bar. It's right across from (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: So you're in the bar?

MR. DENNIS: I picked her up on the way to the bar.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Where did you pick her up at?

24 11:20**2**5

11:19A2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DENNIS: On the street.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Second Street?

MR. DENNIS: Yeah.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Fourth Street?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) no, Second Street,

Second Street because, you see, she -- she had a goofy
ass fucking hat on and we started talking and
she didn't have a coat on, it was cold out. So then
she was telling me that this guy that she had been
with beat her up. I mean, she -- fuck, she
had two black eyes, telling me about how she was all
bruised up and beat up and everything, so I said fuck
that shit, come with me. I took my coat off, put it
on her, come on, come on, I'll buy you couple of
beers, we'll talk about it, and then you go point this
fucker out to me and we'll take care of this crap and
get your coat back, and that (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: If you could rewind the tape in your head, could you narrow down what day it might have been exactly? I (inaudible) point of reference?

MR. DENNIS: I -- I took the room on the (inaudible) so this -- I think I went there on Wednesday night and probably Thursday night. It might have been Thursday night, I'm not sure, but I think now probably.

11:2221

DETECTIVE BURKE: Anything out of the ordinary stand out for either night?

MR. DENNIS: I was pretty drunk, nothing stands out (inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Where on Second Street did you first encounter her?

MR. DENNIS: Right by Cal-Neva, right there on Virginia, Second and Virginia probably. I was heading up to -- up to the bar there, up to West Second to the Karaoke joint and she was coming down Virginia and across the street just as I was coming across and --

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: What did she tell you her name was?

MR. DENNIS: Fuck, it's a weird name, like Yolana, something like that. To tell you the truth, I don't remember, something like that.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Terry, you've been around a lot. Did it appear to you like she was a working girl, a prostitute?

MR. DENNIS: No, no, no. What she looked like to me was a woman who had been seriously abused and needed somebody to take her under their wing.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Let me break in for a second. In your walking around downtown or being in downtown for a while, had you ever seen her before?

11:23A0

61 MR. DENNIS: No, no, no. 1 DETECTIVE BURKE: Sorry to interrupt. Go ahead. 2 MR. DENNIS: No, no, that's --3 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: So probably Thursday, maybe Friday? 5 MR. DENNIS: Probably Thursday night. 6 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Is when you -- when you meet 7 her? 8 I think so, yeah. MR. DENNIS: 9 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: And she at in (inaudible) 10 state and she's cold and you offer her a coat? 11 MR. DENNIS: Yeah. 12 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: You console her --13 MR. DENNIS: Yeah. 14 (Inaudible) and you offer DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: 15 16 her to go with you --MR. DENNIS: Yeah. 17 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: -- the Karaoke bar and have 18 19 fun; am I right? MR. DENNIS: Yeah. 20 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT:: (Inaudible). 21 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) and then she came back 22 to my room with me. 23

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Do you recall how long you

stayed in that bar (inaudible) the bar Karaoke bar?

24

25

MR. DENNIS: Probably about 4:00, 4:30, I don't 11:24A1 know (inaudible). 2 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: This person that runs the 3 Karaoke, he knows you as TJ? 4 MR. DENNIS: Yeah, he calls me (inaudible) I do a 5 pretty good job --6 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible). 7 MR. DENNIS: Well, TJ and (inaudible) yeah 8 (inaudible). 9 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Would he remember if you 10 11 went --MR. DENNIS: Oh, yeah. 12 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: To narrow down the date --13 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) I'm not sure. 14 telling you, I don't know. 15 DETECTIVE BURKE: Was there anybody else -- going 16 back to the bar, was there anybody else that you 17 remember talking to that you --18 MR. DENNIS: I talk to everybody, man 19 20 (inaudible). DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) calling your buddy 21 or --22 MR. DENNIS: No, no, no. I don't have like 23 real close friends. The bartender might remember 24

me, I don't know her name either. The girl was

25

drinking salty dogs.

11:25 1

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: This Yolana?

MR. DENNIS: Whatever the fuck her name is, yeah, Yolana, something like that, I don't know. That's what she was drinking, salty dog -- Oh, I ran into this guy from Seattle (inaudible) he's from Washington state (inaudible) and I ran into him at the Cal-Neva and he was arguing with his old lady and I told him about the Karaoke joint and he showed up over there, but he probably went home by now.

No, the guy that runs the Karaoke shit would remember me because I've been there a lot. Now the girl I was with maybe, maybe not. I don't know, but she had this weird fucking hat on. When you get down to the room, you'll see what I mean (inaudible) fucking Mongolian things with the fur around them like this only with fur around it, real strange (inaudible) (inaudible)

DETECTIVE BURKE: What were you wearing? Were you the same --

MR. DENNIS: The same shit I have right now.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Hey, Terry, would you -when you first see her, the hat draws your attention
(inaudible).

MR. DENNIS: Yeah.

ER 0873

64 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible). 1 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible). 2 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Looked like somebody who had 3 somebody's (inaudible). 4 MR. DENNIS: Yeah. 5 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: All right. Were there any 6 other things about her that attracted you to her, was 7 there any attraction (inaudible). 8 MR. DENNIS: She just looked like she needed 9 somebody to fucking help her out and take care of her. 10 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Okay. 11:26A1 MR. DENNIS: And that's (inaudible). 12 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Okay. And then you 13 (inaudible). 14 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) we drank for days, we 15 were putting a fifth away every few hours. 16 DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) after you 17 guys you were in the bar, you close the bar down at 18 4:30 in the morning, she goes home with you? 19 MR. DENNIS: Yeah. 20 DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) that's at the 21 Horseshoe? 22 Yeah. I stopped and got a fifth of MR. DENNIS: 23 vodka on the way and orange juice. 24

25.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: How are you getting around,

Terry, walking or --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DENNIS: Walking, uh-huh (inaudible). What's that got to do with anything?

DETECTIVE BURKE: It just gives us a time line of where you're at.

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) 10 minutes tops from there to there, tops.

DETECTIVE BURKE: How do you (inaudible) after you met her and everything?

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, you know, the thing is, we got talking. Like I said I'm going to cut to the chase here. You guys want to go through all this other bullshit, it ain't important. I'm going to cut to the chase right here and right now. We got to talking, I don't know Thursday night, Friday night, Saturday night, whatever the hell it was, I don't even know, but we got talking and she was asking me personal questions, you know, like who I really am and shit, you know, what I'd done and stuff and, you know, I said, well, I'm a Vietnam Vet and I've done a lot of things I'm not very proud of and shit. So we started talking about that. And she asked me if I ever killed anybody and I said of course I have. And she said, "No, you haven't, you're not capable, you're too kind," and that's when things went wrong.

2-16

	66
11:28A1	DETECTIVE BURKE: What do you mean by "things
2	went wrong," Terry?
3	MR. DENNIS: Well, I treated her wrong. Okay?
4	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Did you personally take that
5	as a challenge because she was calling you on it?
6	MR. DENNIS: Uh-huh, uh-huh, yeah. I'd killed
7	other people but something about the way she said it.
8	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Kind of challenged your
9	capabilities?
10	MR. DENNIS: Sure.
11	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible).
12	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) what the fuck are you
13	talking about, you know? We were making love well,
14	sort of kind of (inaudible) in the ass and she
15	didn't like that much, and she said that I wasn't
16	capable of killing anyone. So I proved her wrong.
11:2917	DETECTIVE BURKE: How did you prove her wrong?
18	What did you do to prove her wrong?
19	MR. DENNIS: I strangled her.
20	DETECTIVE BURKE: Did you use clothing, rope,
21	hands?
22	MR. DENNIS: Oh, a belt at first and I then
23	I tossed the belt (inaudible) finished her up with my
24	hands. That ought to be enough, guys, that ought to
25	be enough. I don't know anything else I can say,

that's it.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Terry, I overheard you say that when you and her were having this discussion beforehand when she's asking you if you killed anyone and your response was, "Well, sure, I have," and then she didn't believe you --

MR. DENNIS: Yeah.

DETECTIVE BURKE: -- called you incapable of doing it --

MR. DENNIS: Uh-huh.

DETECTIVE BURKE: When you responded to her that you had, were you referring to some other stuff we don't know about or are you talking to -- about in war in Vietnam, if you want to answer that question?

MR. DENNIS: Do you want to hear an answer?

DETECTIVE BURKE: I would like to hear an answer.

MR. DENNIS: I bet you would (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. A "yes" or "no" is again up to you. Were you referring to some -- your experience in Vietnam or back in the states?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Well, I -- let's do this.

Let's just (inaudible) for a second. When you took

her response and she tells you that you haven't killed

anybody and you take that as a challenge, what

2/8

type of mind frame were you in? Did you (inaudible), were you taking any of your prescriptions?

MR. DENNIS: No, actually, I'd been off my medication for about a week.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What I'm trying to get to is did you know when you were strangling her what you were doing?

MR. DENNIS: Of course.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

11:31A8

DETECTIVE BURKE: Well, I've got to ask.

MR. DENNIS: Jesus Christ, you some kind of fucking (inaudible) how can a person (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Was this related in any way related to sex, was this a sexual act or was this simply a response to her challenge to you that you were not capable?

MR. DENNIS: It was a response to the challenge but sex was happening at the same time. So, yes, I was excited, the act itself was a response to the challenge. It's hard to remember explain.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Let me ask you a follow-up question.

MR. DENNIS: Go ahead.

MR. GRECO: The act of violence towards her in response --

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) violent (inaudible)

MR. DENNIS: (

2.19

69
seemed like a natural thing.
DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay, your response to her
challenge
MR. DENNIS: That's not violence to me, violence
is things (inaudible)
DETECTIVE BURKE: Guts and bullets and
(inaudible).
MR. DENNIS: Fuck, yeah. Fuck yeah.
DETECTIVE BURKE: This is a normal act of sex
with you, this is
MR. DENNIS: No, it's not a normal thing with sex
with me (inaudible).
DETECTIVE BURKE: Let's use your word, normal
act or normal for you
MR. DENNIS: It felt right.
DETECTIVE BURKE: It felt right to you, that felt
right. Did that do anything to you sexually?
MR. DENNIS: No, that wasn't that wasn't what
it was about
DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) I'm just asking
(inaudible).
MR. DENNIS: You asked and I told you. That
wasn't it. It was a challenge, this bitch don't know
me from anybody, you know what I'm saying?
(Inaudible) kill anybody, yeah, no, shit. Well, guess

	70				
1	what? Fuck, there you go.				
2	DETECTIVE BURKE: Now, you I heard you say that				
3	the two of you had been drinking heavily				
11:33A4	MR. DENNIS: Yeah.				
5	DETECTIVE BURKE: from the time you met her				
6	until this point in time				
7	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).				
8	DETECTIVE BURKE: at that time.				
9	MR. DENNIS: Yeah.				
10	DETECTIVE BURKE: How drunk or intoxicated would				
11	you say you were?				
12	MR. DENNIS: Compared to what?				
13	DETECTIVE BURKE: Compared to (inaudible).				
14	MR. DENNIS: About the same probably.				
15	DETECTIVE BURKE: About the same?				
16 .	MR. DENNIS: Yeah, probably.				
17	DETECTIVE BURKE: In addition to alcohol that				
18	you				
19	MR. DENNIS: I've been (inaudible) for a lot				
20	of years (inaudible).				
21	DETECTIVE BURKE: Some of the prescribed				
22	medications that you had been taking but hadn't taken				
23	in about a week or so, was there any other drugs				
24	involved?				
25	MR. DENNIS: No. ER 0880				
•					

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. What happens to you when (inaudible) you know your body better than I do, if you don't get your prescribed medication?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) for a long time I've been trying to tell myself and other people that I really didn't need these drugs to maintain and be like a normal human but I think I've been deluding myself because when I don't take them, I do strange things.

I'm not normal.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay.

MR. DENNIS: I've been in mental institutions a couple of times, I've been in Nevada Mental Health, I've been down there at the VA Hospital. My income is is from Social Security Disability because of post traumatic stress disorder, antisocial. I don't think I'm a (inaudible ) I just don't like people much.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT:: What have you been diagnosed with other than post traumatic syndrome, anything else (inaudible)?

MR. DENNIS: Severe chronic depression, bipolar (inaudible), antisocial tendencies, but that's just somebody else's version.

DETECTIVE BURKE: What branch of the military were you in in Vietnam?

MR. DENNIS: I was in the Air Force officially.

11:36A3

DETECTIVE BURKE: Unofficially?

MR. DENNIS: I can't tell you. I can say officially in the Air Force and (inaudible) and --

DETECTIVE BURKE: When you did move from Room 210 up to the room that (inaudible)?

MR. DENNIS: I don't think we? We already did this.

DETECTIVE BURKE: I don't think we covered --

MR. DENNIS: I think -- I was there for about a day or two days. The dude that rented the room wasn't supposed to, so then the manager or whatever came and knocked on the door and said I had to move from that room to the one where I was (inaudible) wasn't suppose to rent the other room (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Yeah. In fact, we'll take a another couple minute break (inaudible). You need to use the restroom (inaudible)?

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) this is my last one, so we'll all be happy about that I bet. Thanks.

DETECTIVE BURKE: We'll go off tape at 1733.

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: No, just put that here -- We'll take a break.

\* \*

ER 0882

1.5

1	MR. GRECO: While the room is empty, it's
2	17:29:59 on the tape, I'm going to fast forward through
3	the next two minutes while the room is empty. Ms. Pusich
4	agrees with that.
11:38A5	MS. PUSICH: That's correct.
6	JUDGE BERRY: That's stipulated to by the
7	defense?
8	MS. PUSICH: It is.
9	MR. GRECO: We're resuming at 17:31:58.
10	* * * *
11	
12	(The following portion of this transcript is the audible portions of the videotaped interview,
13	marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 17, played and reported in open court:)
14	and reported in open court.
15	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I'll bring you another Coke
16	here (inaudible). Are you hungry or anything, do you
17	want anything? We got
18	MR. DENNIS: What do you have?
19	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I don't know. I can scrape
20	up something?
21	MR. DENNIS: Burger or sandwich (inaudible).
22	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible) out of the
23	machine. Oh, come on.
24	MR. DENNIS: Whatever. Surprise me.
25	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: All right. Fine.

11:39A1

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

2

11:41A3

11:42A4

5

11:43A6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

11:44A2

24

25

23

(Inaudible).

(Inaudible).

(Inaudible).

MR. GRECO: The defense and I have stipulated that the tape could be fast forwarded to 17:46:32, which is where the detectives re-enter the room, and we also stipulate that during the intervening 10 minutes the defendant intermittently talks to himself, saying the things reflected on the transcript on page 35. save time.

> We stipulate to that. MS. PUSICH:

JUDGE BERRY: Based on counsel's stipulation, you may fast forward.

Based upon counsel's stipulation, and as part of the record, that portion where the defendant was alone in the tape, that's part of the record, along with the transcript for those portions have been transcribed.

MS. PUSICH: Thank you, your Honor.

(The following portion of this transcript is the audible portions of the videotaped interview, marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 17, played and reported in open court:)

ER 0884

75 DETECTIVE BURKE: Will that do, will that do? 1 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) sitting here 2 thinking (inaudible). 3 DETECTIVE BURKE: Well, you know --MR. DENNIS: I know, it's smaller. 5 DETECTIVE BURKE: You need a fork or something? 6 MR. DENNIS: No. 7 DETECTIVE BURKE: You sure? 8 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible). 9 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible) finish up 10 11 eating and we'll take up where we --DETECTIVE BURKE: Take your time, have something 12 to eat. I hope that's hot enough. I might have 13 gotten it a little too hot. 14 15 MR. DENNIS: That's all right (inaudible). 16 DETECTIVE BURKE: I'll get you more. 11:46A7 MR. DENNIS: Oh, cool. DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible). 18 MR. DENNIS: It's hot (inaudible). 19 20 (Inaudible). (Inaudible). 21 11:47A2 So you got a report back on the 23 room yet? DETECTIVE BURKE: No; uh-huh. 24

MR. DENNIS: How come it takes so long?

7.6

DETECTIVE BURKE: Well, you know, they've got to 1 go through just like we've got to go through 2 procedures, they've got to go through certain 3 procedures. MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) people (inaudible). 5 DETECTIVE BURKE: Oh, well. 6 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) dead for days 7 (inaudible). 8 DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible). 9 MR. DENNIS: Well, (inaudible) job (inaudible) 10 11 a good fucking (inaudible) tear you up in court (inaudible). 12 11:48 13 DETECTIVE BURKE: That can happen, that definitely can happen. 14 15 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible). DETECTIVE BURKE: It most certainly has. 16 17 MR. DENNIS: What, to you personally? DETECTIVE BURKE: Yeah, actually, it did, about 18 two years ago. Not on this -- not this type of 19 investigation. 20 MR. DENNIS: Seriously? 21 DETECTIVE BURKE: Yeah. Hey, he could have 22 23 (inaudible) and still walked. MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible). 24

DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. You're down (inaudible).

1	MR. DENNIS: Down to what?
2	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible tape. Okay, turn
3	it over (inaudible), make sure you check it first.
11:49A4	MR. DENNIS: Appreciate the chow.
5	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: No problem.
6	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) fucking (inaudible)
7	eating cop food.
8	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Yeah.
9	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).
10	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: We have to change the tape.
11	MR. DENNIS: Whatever (inaudible) Whew.
12	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Take your time, man.
13	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) probably the best food
14	I'm going to get in a while.
15	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: What do you prefer being
16	called, Terry or what was that other
17	MR. DENNIS: TJ.
18	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: TJ, yeah.
19	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).
20	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible).
21	MR. DENNIS: They call (inaudible) goddamn
22	thing. Yeah, no, really (inaudible).
23	DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: No problem.
24	MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).
25	(Inaudible). ER 0887

20.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: What's red duck day?

MR. DENNIS: Oh, it's fucking barbecue beef that they've got there (inaudible). Actually, it's not bad. Everybody calls it red dog. It's not bad.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Oops. Sorry about that.

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I've got 1757 hours.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Transcriber, that was Detective Mohammed Rafaquat. This will be the second tape of the interview. Present is myself, Detective Burke, and Terry Dennis.

Okay, Terry, there's a couple of other things that we need to ask you. First of all, I know I'm backtracking a little bit, but like I said before --

MR. DENNIS: Got to get it straight.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Get it straight and get kind of like where you were and when you were at -- when you meet her in front of the Cal-Neva and you go to the bar and you eventually go back to the motel room, back to room 210. Prior to you going to the motel, being moved up to the room upstairs, did you guys go out anywhere, meet any of her friends?

MR. DENNIS: As far as I know, she didn't have any friends. She had a bad relationship with this guy that beat her up a lot.

ER 0888

DETECTIVE BURKE: Did she mention his name? 1 MR. DENNIS: No, no, because I wanted to go out 2 and find him. 3 DETECTIVE BURKE: Did she mention whether it was 11:52A4 a boyfriend or a husband? 5 MR. DENNIS: Just -- I think it was just somebody 6 kind of taking care of her on the street. She was on 7 the street, you know. 8 DETECTIVE BURKE: Okay. Did she say whether 9 she's from this area? 10 MR. DENNIS: I have no clue. I have no clue. 11 DETECTIVE BURKE: So you go back to the motel 12 room before you moved upstairs, you guys go out to 13 dinner, go out drinking? 14 MR. DENNIS: Yeah, I took her cut to (inaudible) 15 she had breakfast, that night which ever night that 16 was, I think it was Thursday but (inaudible). 17 DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) restaurant again? 18 MR. DENNIS: The Copper Ledge at the Cal-Neva. 19 DETECTIVE BURKE: And do you remember what 20 time about? 21 MR. DENNIS: 4:00 or 5:00 in the morning. 22 not sure, I'm just not sure. We were both pretty 23 drunk. I don't know. 24 DETECTIVE BURKE: Did you meet anybody there that 25

1 11:53A2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

knew you or knew her?

MR. DENNIS: Nope.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Now, did you guys go back to the Second Street Karaoke bar after the initial night you met her, the two of you together?

MR. DENNIS: No, no.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Anywhere else --

MR. DENNIS: We stayed in the room. She stayed in the room, I went and got more booze. We stayed in the room after that and had like a continuous bought of sex and slept and drank, that was what we did.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: After you took her out to eat at the Copper Ledge at Cal-Neva at 4:00 or 5:00 in the morning probably Thursday night, Friday morning, right?

Uh-huh. MR. DENNIS:

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: After that you went to your room, did she at any time leave that room either with you or on her own?

MR. DENNIS: No, not that I know of, not that I know of.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Is there a phone in either one of those rooms?

MR. DENNIS: Both of them, they all have phones. DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Did she make a phone call?

20 21

11:54A2

23 24

25

MR. DENNIS: Not that I know of. See, I left a couple of times to get more liquor.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Where did you go for the (inaudible)?

MR. DENNIS: To the Fireside, the little store right there down the street and, god what was it, (inaudible) 6th was, Saturday maybe, I don't know, I'm not sure, Sunday, whatever day the 6th was, I had (inaudible) because I'd been playing keno at Cal-Neva and I had drink tokes in my pockets, and I went down there but she was already dead then. And I went down there and had, I don't know, three or four beers, whatever, just kind of get away from her for a while, and then went back to her. Like I said, she's been dead for several days. I'd I went up to the room and been there drinking and watching TV, sleeping and all, and there she's been, you know (inaudible). some reason I -- I think I probably (inaudible) her (inaudible). She was not happy, she was having a bad life. This guy was beating her up and shit, I really felt like and I still do feel like, you know, maybe put her out of her misery, give her a better place (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: The belt that you mentioned earlier that you used initially, when you started to

11:5614

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

strangle her, was it -- was she facing you when you started?

MR. DENNIS: Uh-huh.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Where is that belt now?

MR. DENNIS: I'm not sure. Probably on the floor up there.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Is it black, brown, red?

MR. DENNIS: It's kind of multi-colored,

actually. It's a leather, brown leather belt about

ye wide. It had some gray on it and some other

stuff. I just -- I never even got so as far to get a

buckle for the (inaudible) pair of pants.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: It was minus the buckle (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Where did you buy it, Terry?

MR. DENNIS: I didn't buy it. I got it down at
the -- what's the name of that place (inaudible)?

DETECTIVE BURKE: On East Fourth?

MR. DENNIS: Yeah (inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: What -- Terry, other than her telling you about that one bad relationship (inaudible) saw, did she talk about herself at all? What do you know about her, other than that one relationship?

MR. DENNIS: I don't remember. Honest to God, I

11:57A8

don't remember. I don't care and I didn't care then. 1 I saw her (inaudible), I saw myself as a predator. 2 She was easy and I, you know, did what I felt was the 3 thing to do. The whole time I pictured her as a 4 (inaudible). Okay? It's something I'd been wanting 5 to do for a long time and I just hadn't done it. 6 There she was and there I was and (inaudible). 7 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Why had you been wanting to 8 do something like this? 9 MR. DENNIS: I don't know. I really -- it's hard 11:58 10 to explain. To see if I could, to see if I would and 11 being told that I couldn't, just kind of popped the 12 bubble (inaudible). 13 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I'm going to spend a little 14 bit of time at that (inaudible). 15 MR. DENNIS: I don't give a shit. Are you a 16 psychologist or what? 17 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: No. I'm trying to figure it 18 out. 19 (Inaudible). MR. DENNIS: 20 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: I can tell you this, 21 that I do not profess to being or nor do I ever want 22 to profess to be --23 MR. DENNIS: I've been called a sociopath, 24 and there's a reason for that. 25

ER 0893

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: This is just for myself to 1 understand --2 MR. DENNIS: Go ahead. 3 DETECTIVE BURKE: Well, before he expands on 4 that, Terry, can you tell us the reason why you've 5 been diagnosed as a sociopath? MR. DENNIS: Because I don't give a fuck about 11:59A7 anybody and how they feel, including myself. 8 I do is okay. Now everybody kind of takes their chances if they come anywhere near me. I could be 10 whatever to whatever circumstance it calls for. Now, 11 you want to go past that, go for it, but you better 12 have credentials to understand what I'm talking about. 13 DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) were you diagnosed 14 with that here in Reno? 15 MR. DENNIS: Uh-huh, I have sociopathic 16 tendencies, so --17 DETECTIVE BURKE: I don't mean to interrupt --18 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: No, that's fine. 19 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) anyway, that gives you 20 another question to ask. 21 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Now, on Saturday when you go 22 to (inaudible) to get away from her, you said the 6th, 23 I figured out the day, the day on the 6th was 24 Saturday --25

ER 0894

MR. DENNIS: Yeah, that's what the tokes said, 12:00P1 the 6th, I knew I had to use them then, so I did that. 2 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Okay. 3 MR. DENNIS: She was still alive. Yeah, she was 4 still alive then so it must have been Saturday 5 (inaudible). 6 This is after you had used DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: 7 your (inaudible). MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) I just went down for 9 a little while, just to get away, you know. 10 DETECTIVE BURKE: Was she getting on your nerves 11 or you just tired of her? 12 MR. DENNIS: Yeah, kind of. 13 DETECTIVE BURKE: Was she nagging at you? 14 MR. DENNIS: She was asking too many fucking 15 questions, man, none of your fucking business 16 (inaudible) too personal. I mean, Jesus Christ, there 17 we were, naked and all this kind of crap. 18 was trying to get into my head and getting personal, 19 so (inaudible) I guess it was Saturday I killed her 20 (inaudible). 21 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Let me -- Okay, so Saturday 22 night right before the act, you guys are having sex? 23 12:01P4 MR. DENNIS: Uh-huh. DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: This question comes up -- is 25

86 that the first time that discussion came up of whether 1 you killed anybody or not? 2 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) and, like I said, I 3 didn't really feel it was her fucking business. 4 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: And you guys were having 5 sex at the time? 6 MR. DENNIS: Huh? 7 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: You guys are having sex at 8 the time, you said you were having -- doing her from 9 the back at the time? 10 MR. DENNIS: Yeah, but she wasn't liking it. 11 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: (Inaudible) Yeah, okay. 12 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) she wasn't going for it. 13 Oh, well. 14 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: When you flip -- was the 15 belt or the hands the first things you that used to 16 prove to her that you could? 17 MR. DENNIS: I just reached down and grabbed my 18 belt and wrapped it around her neck (inaudible). 19 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: How was she positioned, was 20 she facing you, was she facing --21 MR. DENNIS: At the time she was facing me. 22 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: At the time she was facing 23 you? 24

MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) when she started fading,

I flipped her over and finished it, took the belt off and used my hands, held her nose, covered her mouth, made sure she wasn't breathing, you know.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Suffocated her with your hand, is that what you did, or did you choke her with her hands as well?

MR. DENNIS: Well, both, both. Choked her first and then suffocated her to make sure (inaudible).

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Again, I do not profess to be a shrink, this is just trying to figure out what's going on at that point in time.

MR. DENNIS: Good luck.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Are you having what kind of thoughts, what kind of are you having, are you having any emotions other than being angry and trying to want to prove because you were challenged, are you having (inaudible) your mind?

MR. DENNIS: No, no. I just -- actually, I felt a lot of peace. I felt kind of at peace about the whole thing; that, yeah, I can do this and still not give a fuck, you know. I can kill somebody and not even care.

DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Any flashbacks going through your head?

MR. DENNIS: Nah, nah. She was nobody to me. It

12:02P4

12:03P5

88 didn't matter. It just didn't matter. I didn't care. 1 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Nothing that you may have 2 done in Vietnam (inaudible)? 3 MR. DENNIS: (Inaudible) nothing absolutely to do with anything. I killed her because I knew I fucking 5 could, that's the long and short of it. 6 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: She challenged you because 7 you couldn't? 8 MR. DENNIS: She said I couldn't. Proved her 9 wrong, didn't I? 10 DETECTIVE RAFAQUAT: Yeah, you did. 11 MR. DENNIS: Damn right I did. 12 What else do you need to know? Jesus Christ, 13 14 guys. DETECTIVE BURKE: You know what I -- I need to 15 know this. We're sitting here in this room, you seem 16 like you're a pretty intelligent guy to me. 17 MR. DENNIS: I am. I'm very intelligent, 18 actually. 19 DETECTIVE BURKE: And I want to make sure you 20 understand what you're saying to --21 MR. DENNIS: I know exactly what I'm saying. 22 DETECTIVE BURKE: (Inaudible) you're not being 23 hampered by any of the alcohol that you drank? 24 MR. DENNIS: I'm not being hit, I'm not 12:0425

being hampered. It's something that I wanted to do for a long time (inaudible). She was easy, she was there, perfect, she was the perfect victim, she was the perfect -- just met up with the wrong guy at the wrong time, I guess.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Let me ask you another question. After the act was committed, did you talk to anybody else about what had happened? I mean not -- just do you think that you talked to anybody while you were drinking?

MR. DENNIS: No.

DETECTIVE BURKE: Did anybody ask you, "Hey, what happened to the girl we saw you with"?

MR. TERRY: Nobody had ever seen her or I together before to even ask that, and like I say, I don't have like tight friends or anything that would have seen us in the first (inaudible).

DETECTIVE BURKE: Wasn't she with you on Thursday night when you first met her at the Karoake --

\* \* \* \*

MR. GRECO: I have stopped the taped at 18:04.

JUDGE BERRY: Thank you. We'll go ahead and take the noon recess. We'll reconvene at 1:30 p.m.

Before we break, Mr. Greco and Ms. Pusich, Judge

12:0522

Cherry had indicated an interest in wondering if the military records of the defendant had been acquired before today's proceedings? MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, they have not. As you may recall from our early hearings, Mr.

Dennis was anxious to get this taken care of quickly, and because of the years of service, we could not get the records in time to accommodate the Court.

JUDGE BERRY: Is defense counsel satisfied that those records would not have any additional bearings on these proceedings or provide any further insight?

They do have; however, the Division MS. PUSICH: was able to determine information from the state of Washington that he was honorably discharged from service, and we'll make reference to that in the Presentence Investigation Report.

JUDGE BERRY: This Court will stand in recess until 1:30.

(Lunch recess taken.)

20

22

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

12:07P7

23

24

25

ER 0900

1	91
1	STATE OF NEVADA ) ) ss.
2	COUNTY OF WASHOE )
3	
4	I, ERIN T. LUSCHAR, an Official Reporter of
5	the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada,
6	in and for the County of Washoe, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:
7	
8	That I was present in Department No. 1 of
9	the above-entitled Court on MONDAY, JULY 19, 1998, and
10	took verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings had upon
11	the matter captioned within, and thereafter transcribed
12	them into typewriting as herein appears;
13	
14	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of
15	pages 1 through 90, is a full, true and correct
16	transcription of my stenotype notes of said proceedings.
17	
18	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 20th day of
19	July, 1999.
20	B. 19
21	ERIN T. LUSCHAR, CCR #281
22	ERIN 1. EGGenny, Gen #202
23	
24	

2.5

242

ER 0901

-			
=			
=			
-			
_			